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Abstract: The main purposes of this article were to find out which attributes of apartments in the Polish town of Skarżysko-Kamienna had the greatest influence on their unit price between January 2014 and April 2015, which of them had similar participation in apartments price formation, and to check the stability of apartments prices during the analyzed period of time. By using statistical methods, potential price-making attributes have been selected, and the stability of apartments prices on the local market has been checked.
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1. Introduction

At the present time, one of the most-popular ways of investing money is the purchase of property. In professional articles connected with the property market or the economy, we can read about apartments price formation in the biggest Polish cities [7, 8, 12]. In large conurbations, the number of residents is still increasing, which causes a growth in the demand for apartments. In this publication, the author tried to answer the following question:

– How is the situation in smaller towns where the number of residents is below 100,000 (and still decreasing)?
– Which apartments are chosen by buyers?
– Which attributes of these apartments are the most in-demand?

To answer these questions, in the article, an attempt to select the attributes that might have the biggest influence on apartments prices in the analyzed market was undertaken.

Skarżysko-Kamienna is a town and municipality situated in the northern part of the Świętokrzyskie voivodeship. In the Fifteenth Century, there were bloomeries and another lode of iron. In 1923, Skarżysko-Kamienna gained its town charter. According to data from the 30th of June 2014, the town had a population of 47,354 residents [9]. With reference to the previous year, a decrease in the number of residents in Skarżysko-Kamienna could be noticed. Considering unemployment, the number of registered people without work was 6800 (according to data from April 2015), whereas the rate of unemployment was 25% [10]. At present, Skarżysko-Kamienna is an important industrial center and road and rail hub.

2. Chosen Tools of Statistical Apartments Market Analysis

Information obtained from the property market without a predefined search key is said to be random. In this connection, in statistical analysis concerning apartments price research that depends on their features, it is claimed to have to do with a multidimensional random variable where a component that describes prices is a clarified variable and dependent on many factors [5]. The remaining components that represent property attributes are independent interpretive variables.

In an analysis of large datasets, correlation analysis is a commonly applied practice whose results are presented very often as a correlation matrix [2, 6]. Correlation is a relationship between variables, and a correlation coefficient has a value somewhere between −1 and 1. A positive correlation is in a situation when growth in one variable causes growth in another. A negative correlation causes growth in one variable when a second variable decreases. A correlation at or about 0 represents a lack of dependence between two variables. Table 1 presents an exemplary scale that can be used to determine the strength of a correlation [1].
The way of analyzing trends in the apartments market is research on the average quarterly unit price. In this matter, it is necessary to divide the period of time from which the transactions come into quarters. Then, a test that compares the two average prices can be done according to the algorithm mentioned below.

To choose the appropriate test function for a verification hypothesis of the equality of average quarterly prices, standard deviations in price datasets (quarters, in particular) are compared by first using the Fischer–Snedecor statistic (2) at the same degree of relevance ($\alpha = 0.05$). These comparisons were made by checking the following assumptions:

$$
H_0 : \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_Y^2
$$

(1)

$$
F = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_X^2}{\hat{\sigma}_Y^2}
$$

(2)

where $\hat{\sigma}_X^2, \hat{\sigma}_Y^2$ – estimators of variances for random samples $X$ and $Y$, respectively.

If there are no grounds to reject zero hypothesis $H_0$ about the equality of variances, the Student statistic is used to compare means:

$$
T = \frac{\hat{X} - \hat{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{k_x \cdot \hat{\sigma}_X^2 + k_y \cdot \hat{\sigma}_Y^2}{n_X + n_Y} \cdot \frac{n_X + n_Y}{n_X \cdot n_Y} - 2}}
$$

(3)

where:

- $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}$ – estimators of means for random samples $X$ and $Y$, respectively,
- $\hat{\sigma}_X^2, \hat{\sigma}_Y^2$ – estimators of variances for random samples $X$ and $Y$, respectively,
- $n_X, n_Y$ – number of observations in particular samples where $k_x = n_x - 1, k_y = n_y - 1$.

### Table 1. Determination of correlation strength based on value of correlation coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Strength of correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [1]
If there are grounds to reject a zero hypothesis about the equality of variances, the Cohran–Cox statistic (4) is used to compare means in the view of a small number of transactions (below 30) in particular quarters [1]:

\[
C = \frac{\hat{X} - \hat{Y}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2(\hat{X}) + \sigma^2(\hat{Y})}}
\]

(4)

where:

\(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}\) – estimators of means for random samples \(X\) and \(Y\), respectively,

\(\sigma^2(\hat{X}), \sigma^2(\hat{Y})\) – accuracy of mean estimators.

3. Property Base for Analysis

Essential information for making our calculations was obtained from the Price and Property Valuation Registry, led by the Starost’s Office of the Poviat in Skarżysko-Kamienna and from a conducted local vision. A dataset with information about 101 apartments that make property law was collected and tabulated by assigning them potential price-making attributes. This study comes from the period of January 2014 to April 2015 and is concerned with buildings on lands destined in zoning as lands of multi-family apartments housing. These attributes (with their assigned values) are presented in Table 2. Aside from features in Table 2, quantitative features that do not demand graduation (such as floor surface, utility room surface, number of rooms, and number of building floors) have been considered in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description of attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction date</td>
<td>number of months since December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited real rights (easement, mortgage)</td>
<td>0 – yes – established on apartments 1 – no – apartments free from imposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments location in building:</td>
<td>0 – groundfloor 1 – above 6th floor 2 – 4th–5th floor 3 – 2nd–3rd floor 4 – 1st floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>1 – in distance ≥ 4 km from town center 2 – in distance ≥ 3 km and &lt; 4 km from town center 3 – in distance ≥ 2 km and &lt; 3 km from town center 4 – in distance ≥ 1 km and &lt; 2 km from town center 5 – in distance &lt; 1 km from town center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Communication | 0 – poor – distance from the nearest bus stop is equal or greater than 1000 m; frequency of bus runs from the nearest bus stop is maximally one per hour  
| 1 – average – distance from the nearest bus stop is equal or greater than 600 m and less than 1000 m; frequency of bus runs from the nearest bus stop is 1–2 per hour  
| 2 – good – distance from the nearest bus stop is equal or greater than 300 m and less than 600 m; frequency of bus runs from the nearest bus stop is 2 per hour minimum  
| 3 – very good – distance from the nearest bus stop is less than 300 m; frequency of bus runs from the nearest bus stop is 3 per hour minimum |
| Surroundings | 1 – negative – lack of green areas, busy road in close proximity  
| 2 – average – green areas, busy road in close proximity  
| 3 – medium – green areas, playground, busy road in close proximity  
| 4 – positive – green areas, sport facilities (playing fields)  
| 5 – very positive – green areas, sport facilities (playing fields, skatepark, swimming pool) |
| Building condition | 1 – bad – building without insulation, staircase demands renovation  
| 2 – average – building without insulation, staircase after reconditioning  
| 3 – good – building with insulation, staircase after reconditioning, new front door  
| 4 – very good – new building (from at least 2010) |
| Lift | 0 – no – lack of lift in building  
| 1 – yes – there is a lift in the building |
| Balcony | 0 – no  
| 1 – balcony  
| 2 – loggia  
| 3 – terrace |
| Monitoring | 0 – no  
| 1 – enclosed subdivision  
| 2 – monitoring  
| 3 – enclosed subdivision and monitoring |
| Window exposition | 0 – north  
| 1 – west  
| 2 – east  
| 3 – south  
| 4 – southeast  
| 5 – southwest  
| 6 – north-south  
| 7 – northeast, northwest  
| 8 – east-west  
| 9 – three directions of the world |
| Building technology | 1 – slabs  
| 2 – composite  
| 3 – brick |
| Parking-places | 1 – street parking  
| 2 – fenceless parking place  
| 3 – enclosed parking place  
| 4 – covered parking place  
| 5 – garage |
| Land right | 1 – perpetual usufruct  
| 2 – property |

Source: [11]
4. Market Analysis

4.1. Pearson’s Correlation Matrix

As part of a market analysis, Pearson’s correlation coefficients attribute-attribute and attribute-unit price have been calculated. The obtained values are presented as a correlation matrix in Table 3.

By using the color red, a strong correlation at least has been scored. This kind of relationship appeared in the following pairs of attributes: floor surface and number of rooms; location and communication; lift and monitoring; lift and number of building floors; and parking and monitoring. On the grounds of a correlation analysis, it is said that the above features play similar roles in unit price formation, and in the further process of valuation, there is the possibility of rejecting one of them from each analyzed pair. It is important to pay attention to the fact that such pairs of attributes as lift and monitoring and parking-places and monitoring are not dependent from themselves in practice, so the elimination of an attribute from each pair is inadvisable. In the case of pairs floor surface and number of rooms, location and communication, and lift and number of building floors, it is possible to eliminate those attributes that are less important in price formation, which shows the so-called importance of parts \( k_i \). The important parts of apartments attributes in unit price formation have been calculated by using correlation coefficient attribute-unit price and the following formula [4]:

\[
k_i = \frac{r_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i^2}
\]

where:

- \( r_i \) – correlation coefficient between attribute and unit,
- \( m \) – number of considered apartments attributes.

The important parts of particular features in property price formation are presented as follows (in descending order): monitoring (0.20), surroundings (0.17), parking-places (0.16), lift (0.14), building technology (0.10), number of building floors (0.07), building condition (0.06), balcony (0.04), land right (0.04), apartments location in building (0.01) and location (0.01). The influence of other attributes on price is said to be equal 0.

On the grounds of the above calculations and the criterion of importance part at a level of 5%, the crucial attributes in apartments unit price formation in Skarżysko-Kamienna are as follows (in descending order): monitoring (22%), surroundings (18%), parking (18%), lift in the building (16%), building technology (12%), building condition (7%), and number of building floors (7%).

In references to a large apartments market (e.g., Krakow) according to data from a report published by Krakow City Hall in 2014, the following features played the most-important roles in transaction price: building technology, location, and floor surface [11].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Unit price</th>
<th>Floor surface</th>
<th>Utility room surface</th>
<th>Number of rooms</th>
<th>Limited real rights</th>
<th>Apartments location in building</th>
<th>Number of building’s floors</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Surroundings</th>
<th>Building condition</th>
<th>Lift</th>
<th>Balcony</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Window exposition</th>
<th>Building technology</th>
<th>Parking</th>
<th>Land right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit price</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor surface</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility room surface</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rooms</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited real rights</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments location in building</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of building floors</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surroundings</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building condition</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balcony</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window exposition</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building technology</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land right</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [11]
4.2. Research of Quarterly Variability of Unit Price

The correlation coefficient between unit price and transaction data is 0.22, which means a weak correlation according to Table 1. However, the value of the calculated correlation strength does not provide for the possibility of conducting a wider analysis about the influence of time on apartments prices. Because of this fact, the analyzed period of time was divided into quarters, then the average transaction price and price standard deviation were calculated for each quarter. In this way, the stability of the local market was checked.

The following graphs (Fig. 1) present the dispersion of unit price about its mean in each of the analyzed quarters.

Fig. 1. Price dispersion in each analyzed quarter

Source: [11]
In the figures above, it can be noticed that, in the examined quarters, the smallest dispersion regarding average unit price is in January, February, May, and June 2014. In the remaining months, the differences between apartments prices and average quarterly prices (presented in Table 4) are clearly evident. The greatest value (≈1700 PLN/m²) was noticed in February 2015.

Estimators of means, standard deviations of apartments unit prices, and variability coefficients in successive quarters are tabulated in Table 4. The greatest price difference was noted in the third quarter of 2014 and in the first quarter of 2015. In these time scales, there were the most transactions.

**Table 4. Basic characteristics of price variable**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Average transaction price [PLN/m²]</th>
<th>Number of transactions</th>
<th>Price standard deviation [PLN/m²]</th>
<th>Variability coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1812.27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>421.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2334.04</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>485.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2619.00</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1078.96</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2799.93</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>855.56</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2583.22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>934.64</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [11]

In Table 5, there are a verified hypothesis comparing quarterly data, values of the test functions, and critical values on the importance level of 0.05.

**Table 5. Verification of differences between average prices in successive quarters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verified hypothesis</th>
<th>Fischer–Snedecor test</th>
<th>Test function value</th>
<th>Critical area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_{ii}$ $H_1: \mu_i &lt; \mu_{ii}$</td>
<td>$H_0: \sigma_i = \sigma_{ii}, H_1: \sigma_i &lt; \sigma_{ii}$</td>
<td>$F = \left(\frac{485.16}{421.08}\right)^2 = 1.13$ F $\not\in (3.51; +\infty)$</td>
<td>$T = -2.5873$ Conclusion: there has been the growth of price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_{iii}$ $H_1: \mu_i &lt; \mu_{iii}$</td>
<td>$H_0: \sigma_i = \sigma_{iii}, H_1: \sigma_i &lt; \sigma_{iii}$</td>
<td>$F = \left(\frac{1078.96}{421.08}\right)^2 = 1.13$ F $\not\in (2.26; +\infty)$</td>
<td>$C = 1.211$ Conclusion: there has not been important price change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Impact of Selected Factors on Apartments Market in Skarżysko-Kamienna

Table 5 cont.

| $H_0: \mu_{\text{IV}} = \mu_{\text{III}}$ | $H_0: \sigma_{\text{IV}} = \sigma_{\text{III}}, H_1: \sigma_{\text{IV}} < \sigma_{\text{III}}$ | $F = \frac{1078.96}{855.35}^2 = 1.59$ | $T = -0.6225$ | Conclusion: there has not been important price change

| $H_0: \mu_{\text{IV}} = \mu_{\text{V}}$ | $H_0: \sigma_{\text{IV}} = \sigma_{\text{V}}, H_1: \sigma_{\text{IV}} < \sigma_{\text{V}}$ | $F = \frac{934.64}{855.36}^2 = 1.19$ | $T = 1.6663$ | Conclusion: there has not been important price change

Source: [11]

After conducting some statistical tests, it can be noticed that the average apartments unit price was higher about 521 PLN in the second quarter as compared to the first quarter. In successive time intervals, apartments prices were at a comparable level, which means that the analyzed market was stable from April 2014 to April 2015.

5. Conclusions

On the grounds of the conducted market analysis, the attributes that were crucial in apartments price formation in Skarżysko-Kamienna (which were said to be important for apartments buyers) were attributes connected with the infrastructure around the subdivision (monitoring, surroundings, and parking). Less influence on unit price had attributes concerning buildings where these apartments were sold (lift, building technology, building condition and number of building floors). It can be interpreted that, for people who buy apartments in Skarżysko-Kamienna, the most-important is landscaping (which can be associated with a sense of comfort).

From an analysis of the made graphs, demand for apartments purchase was relatively low in January, February, May, and July 2014. Because of this fact, their unit prices varied slightly from the average model price signified as a red line in Figure 1.

To sum up, there was growth in apartments prices from January 2014 to March 2014 in the analyzed market of Skarżysko-Kamienna. From April 2014 through April 2015, the apartments market was stable. Furthermore, the average
quarterly unit price was about 2575.52 PLN/m². This price was definitely lower than the unit price in larger conurbations (e.g., in Krakow, the average transaction price in the aftermarket in 2014 was 6935 PLN/m² [3]).
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Wpływ wybranych czynników na rynek mieszkaniowy Skarżyska-Kamiennej

Streszczenie: W publikacji podjęto próbę określania, które atrybuty lokali mieszkalnych na terenie Skarżyska-Kamiennej miały największy wpływ na ich cenę jednostkową w okresie od stycznia 2014 do kwietnia 2015 roku, jakie z cech miały podobny udział w kształtowaniu cen mieszkań oraz czy w badanym czasie ceny ulegały istotnej zmianie. Dzięki zastosowaniu metod statystycznych wyselekcjonowano atrybuty potencjalnie cenotwórcze oraz sprawdzono stabilność cen mieszkań na badanym rynku mieszkaniowym w analizowanym okresie.

Słowa kluczowe: analiza rynku, nieruchomości lokalowe, analizy statystyczne, zmienna losowa