

## ON THE UNIFORM PERFECTNESS OF EQUIVARIANT DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUPS FOR PRINCIPAL $G$ MANIFOLDS

Kazuhiko Fukui

*Communicated by P.A. Cojuhari*

**Abstract.** We proved in [K. Abe, K. Fukui, *On commutators of equivariant diffeomorphisms*, Proc. Japan Acad. 54 (1978), 52–54] that the identity component  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  of the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of a principal  $G$  bundle  $M$  over a manifold  $B$  is perfect for a compact connected Lie group  $G$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  ( $r \neq \dim B + 1$ ). In this paper, we study the uniform perfectness of the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms for a principal  $G$  bundle  $M$  over a manifold  $B$  by relating it to the uniform perfectness of the group of  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of  $B$  and show that under a certain condition,  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if  $B$  belongs to a certain wide class of manifolds. We characterize the uniform perfectness of the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms for principal  $G$  bundles over closed manifolds of dimension less than or equal to 3, and in particular we prove the uniform perfectness of the group for the 3-dimensional case and  $r \neq 4$ .

**Keywords:** uniform perfectness, principal  $G$  manifold, equivariant diffeomorphism.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 58D05, 57R30.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For a  $C^r$ -manifold  $M$ , let  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  denote the group of  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of  $M$  with compact support ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ). Let  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$  be the identity component of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$  equipped with the compact open  $C^r$ -topology. Thurston ([9]) and Mather ([8]) proved that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$  is perfect if  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $r \neq \dim M + 1$ , that is, it coincides with its commutator subgroup.

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $M$  be the total space of a principal  $G$  bundle over a smooth manifold  $B$ . Then we have a canonical smooth free  $G$  action on  $M$  and every smooth free  $G$  action on  $M$  induces a principal  $G$  bundle over a smooth manifold  $B$ . Let  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)$  denote the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of  $M$  with compact support and with the relative topology

as a subspace of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)$ . Let  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  be the identity component of  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)$ . Abe and the author proved in [1] (and also Banyaga in [3]) using the results of Thurston and Mather that  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  is perfect if  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq \dim M - \dim G + 1$  and  $\dim M - \dim G \geq 1$ .

Burago, Ivanov and Polterovich ([4]) and Tsuboi ([10, 11]) studied the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$ , where a group is uniformly perfect if any element in it can be represented by a product of a bounded number of commutators of its elements. Indeed, Tsuboi has proved that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $r \neq \dim M + 1$  and  $M$  belongs to a wide class  $\mathcal{C}$  of manifolds (see §3 for  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

In this paper we study the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  for a principal  $G$  bundle  $M$  over a manifold  $B$  by relating it to the uniform perfectness of the group of  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of  $B$  and show that under a certain condition, the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  to be uniformly perfect is that  $\text{Diff}_c^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect. As corollaries, (i) we have by the results of Tsuboi ([10, 11]) that for  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq \dim B + 1$ ,  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if  $\dim B \geq 3$ ,  $G = T^n$  and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , and (ii) we characterize the uniform perfectness of the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms for principal  $G$  bundles over closed manifolds of dimension  $\leq 3$ , and in particular we prove the uniform perfectness of the group for the 3-dimensional case and  $r \neq 4$ .

## 2. EQUIVARIANT DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF A MANIFOLD WITH TRIVIAL $G$ ACTION

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold without boundary on which a compact connected Lie group  $G$  acts smoothly and freely. Then the orbit map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$  is a principal  $G$  bundle over a smooth manifold  $B = M/G$ . Let  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  denote the group of equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms of  $M$  with compact support, which are  $G$ -isotopic to the identity through equivariant  $C^r$ -diffeomorphisms with compact support.

By using the results of Thurston ([9]) and Mather ([8]), Abe and the author in [1] (and also Banyaga in [3]) proved the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq \dim M - \dim G + 1$  and  $\dim M - \dim G \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  is perfect.*

In this section we consider the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$  for the case  $M = \mathbf{R}^m \times G$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbf{R}^m \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  be the projection, which induces the group epimorphism  $P : \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^r(\mathbf{R}^m)_0$  defined by  $P(f) = \bar{f}$ , where  $f(x, g) = (\bar{f}(x), h(x, g))$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $g \in G$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**

1. *If  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq m + 1$  and  $m \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  is uniformly perfect. In fact, any  $f \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  can be represented by a product of two commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ .*
2. *If  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $m \geq 1$ , then any  $f \in \ker P$  can be represented by a product of two commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ .*

*Proof.* (1) The proof follows from the proof of [10, Theorem 2.1] of Tsuboi but we write the proof for the completeness. Take  $f \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $f$  can be represented by a product of commutators as

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^k [a_i, b_i], \text{ where } a_i, b_i \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0.$$

Let  $U$  be an bounded open set of  $\mathbf{R}^m$  satisfying that  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  contains the supports of  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ . Take  $\bar{\phi} \in \text{Diff}_c^r(\mathbf{R}^m)_0$  satisfying that  $\{\bar{\phi}^i(U)\}_{i=1}^k$  are disjoint. Define  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^m \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m \times G$  by  $\phi(x, g) = (\bar{\phi}(x), g)$  for  $(x, g) \in \mathbf{R}^m \times G$ . Then  $\phi \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ . We put

$$F = \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j \left( \prod_{i=j}^k [a_i, b_i] \right) \phi^{-j}$$

which is in  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ . Then we have

$$\phi^{-1} \circ F \circ \phi \circ F^{-1} = f \circ \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j [a_j, b_j]^{-1} \phi^{-j} \right) = f \circ \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j b_j \phi^{-j}, \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j a_j \phi^{-j} \right].$$

Thus we have

$$f = [\phi^{-1}, F] \circ \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j a_j \phi^{-j}, \prod_{j=1}^k \phi^j b_j \phi^{-j} \right].$$

That is, any  $f \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  can be represented by two commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ .

(2) By Proposition 6 of [1], any  $f \in \ker P$  can be represented by a product of commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  as

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^k [c_i, d_i], \text{ where } c_i \in \ker P \text{ and } d_i \in \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0.$$

Note that it also holds for  $r = m + 1$ . By the similar way as in (1), we can prove that  $f \in \ker P$  is represented by two commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$ . This completes the proof. □

### 3. UNIFORM PERFECTNESS OF $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(M)_0$

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $\pi : M \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $G$  bundle over an  $m$ -dimensional closed  $C^r$  manifold  $B$  ( $m \geq 1$ ), where ‘‘closed’’ means ‘‘compact and without boundary’’. Let  $P : \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  be the map defined by  $P(f)(x) = \pi(f(\hat{x}))$  for  $f \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  and  $x \in B, \hat{x} \in M$  with  $\pi(\hat{x}) = x$ . Curtis in [5] proved that  $P$  is a surjective homomorphism and a local trivial fibration.

In this section we study the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  by relating it to the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$ . Then we have the following.

**Theorem 3.1.**

1. If  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect, then  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect.
2. If the number of connected components of  $\ker P$  is finite and  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect, then  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect.

*Proof.* (1) Take any  $\bar{f} \in \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$ . Then from the result of Curtis ([5]), we have  $f \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  satisfying  $P(f) = \bar{f}$ . From the assumption,  $f$  can be represented as a product of a bounded number, say  $k$ , of commutators;

$$f = \prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j], \text{ where } g_j, h_j \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0.$$

Then we have

$$\bar{f} = P(f) = P\left(\prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j]\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k [P(g_j), P(h_j)].$$

(2) Take any  $f \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ . Then from the assumption, we have  $\bar{f} = P(f) = \prod_{j=1}^k [\bar{g}_j, \bar{h}_j]$ , where  $\bar{g}_j, \bar{h}_j \in \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  and  $k$  is a bounded number. By using the result of Curtis ([5]) again, we can take  $g_j$  and  $h_j$  in  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  satisfying  $P(g_j) = \bar{g}_j$  and  $P(h_j) = \bar{h}_j$ . Then we have  $(\prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j])^{-1} \circ f \in \ker P$ .

First we consider the case that  $\psi = (\prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j])^{-1} \circ f$  is  $G$ -isotopic to the identity in  $\ker P$ . We have  $f = \prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j] \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \in \ker P$ .

Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1}$  be an open covering of  $B$  such that each  $U_i$  is a disjoint union of open balls, where  $\ell$  is the category number of  $B$  ( $\ell \leq m$ ). Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1}$  be a partition of unity subordinate to the covering  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1}$ . Let  $\psi_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) be an isotopy in  $\ker P$  from  $\psi_0 = \text{identity}$  to  $\psi_1 = \psi$ . Define  $h_i \in \ker P$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1$ ) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(p) &= \psi_{\lambda_1 \circ \pi(p)}(p) \text{ for } p \in M, \\ h_2(p) &= h_1^{-1} \circ \psi_{\lambda_1 \circ \pi(p) + \lambda_2 \circ \pi(p)}(p) \text{ for } p \in M, \end{aligned}$$

and in general

$$h_i(p) = (h_1 \circ \dots \circ h_{i-1})^{-1} \circ \psi_{\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j \circ \pi(p)}(p) \text{ for } p \in M \text{ (} i = 3, \dots, \ell + 1 \text{)}.$$

Then we have the support of  $h_i$  is contained in  $U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1$ ) and  $h_i \in \ker P$ . For, any element  $\psi \in \ker P$  has locally (say, on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  for an open ball  $U$  in  $B$ ) the form of  $\psi(x, g) = (x, g \cdot L(\psi)(x))$ , where  $L : \ker P \rightarrow C^r(U, G_0)$  is the map defined by  $(x, L(\psi)(x)) = \psi(x, e)$  (see [1]). Thus the isotopy  $\psi_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) has the form  $(x, g \cdot L(\psi)_t(x))$ , where  $L(\psi)_t(x)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) is a homotopy in  $C^r(U, G)$  from  $L(\psi)_0(x) = e$  to  $L(\psi)_1(x) = L(\psi)(x)$ . Hence each  $h_i$  is in  $\ker P$ . Furthermore we have  $\psi = h_1 \circ h_2 \circ \dots \circ h_{\ell+1}$ .

As  $U_i$  is a disjoint union of open balls diffeomorphic to the unit open ball  $\text{int}D^m$ , we have only to prove the case that  $U_i$  is  $\text{int}D^m$  in order to prove Theorem 3.1(2). Since  $\pi$  is trivial over  $U_i$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$  is  $G$ -diffeomorphic to  $U_i \times G$ . Thus we may assume that each

$h_i$  is contained in  $\ker P$  for the homomorphism  $P : \text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^r(\mathbf{R}^m)_0$  in §2. From Theorem 2.2(2), each  $h_i$  can be represented by a product of two commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{G,c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  if  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . Thus  $\psi$  can be represented by a product of  $2(\ell + 1)$  commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ . Hence  $f$  can be represented by a product of  $k + 2(\ell + 1)$  commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ , where  $k$  and  $\ell$  are bounded numbers.

Next we consider the case that  $\psi$  is not connected to the identity in  $\ker P$ . Let  $a$  be the number of the connected components of  $\ker P$ . Take elements, say  $g_1, \dots, g_a$ , from each connected component of  $\ker P$  and fix them. Then from Theorem of [1], each  $g_i$  can be written by  $t_i$  commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ . Put  $t = \max\{t_1, \dots, t_a\}$ . For any element  $g \in \ker P$ , there exists some  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, a$ ) satisfying that  $g$  and  $g_i$  are in the same connected component of  $\ker P$ . Since  $g \circ (g_i)^{-1}$  is in the identity component of  $\ker P$ ,  $g$  can be written by at most  $2(\ell + 1) + t$  commutators. Thus for any element  $f \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ , above  $\psi$  can be written by  $2(\ell + 1) + t$  commutators. Hence  $f \in \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  can be written by  $k + 2(\ell + 1) + t$  commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ . Since  $k, \ell$  and  $t$  are bounded numbers, this completes the proof.  $\square$

The fibration map  $P : \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  induces the homomorphism between the fundamental groups  $P_* : \pi_1(\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0, 1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}^r(B)_0, 1)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that the cokernel of the homomorphism*

$$P_* : \pi_1(\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0, 1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}^r(B)_0, 1)$$

*is finite. Then  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

*Proof.* The fibration map  $P : \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  induces the following exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0, 1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}^r(B)_0, 1) \rightarrow \pi_0(\ker P) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0) = 1.$$

From the assumption  $P_* : \pi_1(\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0, 1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}^r(B)_0, 1)$  has finite cokernel. Thus  $\pi_0(\ker P)$  is finite, that is, the connected components of  $\ker P$  is finite. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1(2).  $\square$

#### 4. UNIFORM PERFECTNESS OF $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$

In this section we study the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$  for principal  $T^n$ -bundles over closed manifolds  $B$ . Then we have the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\dim B \geq 3$ . Then  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

*Proof.* Take any  $f \in \text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$ . Then from the assumption, we have  $\bar{f} = P(f) = \prod_{j=1}^k [\bar{g}_j, \bar{h}_j]$ , where  $\bar{g}_j, \bar{h}_j \in \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  and  $k$  is a bounded number. By using the result of Curtis ([5]) again, we can take  $g_j$  and  $h_j$  in  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$  satisfying  $P(g_j) = \bar{g}_j$  and  $P(h_j) = \bar{h}_j$ . Then we have  $\psi = (\prod_{j=1}^k [g_j, h_j])^{-1} \circ f \in \ker P$ .

Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1}$  and  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1}$  be open coverings of  $B$  such that each  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  are disjoint unions of open balls and  $U_i \subset V_i$ , where  $\ell$  is the category number of  $B$  ( $\ell \leq m$ ).

Since  $\pi$  is trivial over  $V_1$ ,  $\pi_j(T^n) = 1$  ( $j \geq 2$ ) and  $m \geq 3$ , we can deform  $\psi$  over  $V_1$  to  $\psi_1 \in \ker P$  satisfying that  $\psi_1 = \psi$  on  $U_1$  and  $\psi_1$  is the identity near the boundary of  $\bar{V}_1$ . For,  $\bar{V}_1 - U_1$  is homeomorphic to  $S^{m-1} \times [0, 1]$  and  $\psi|_{\partial(\bar{V}_1)}(x, \cdot) : \partial(\bar{V}_1) \rightarrow T^n$  is homotopic to the constant map  $e$  because  $\pi_j(T^n) = 1$  ( $j \geq 2$ ) and  $m \geq 3$ . Hence  $\psi$  can be deformed in  $V_1$  to the identity near the boundary of  $\bar{V}_1$  fixing  $\psi$  on  $\bar{U}_1$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.1(2)).

Next we get  $\psi_2 \in \ker P$  satisfying that  $\psi_2 = \psi_1$  on  $U_2$  and  $\psi_2$  is the identity near the boundary of  $\bar{V}_2$  by performing the same procedure as above for  $(\psi_1)^{-1} \circ \psi$  and  $V_2$ . After  $\ell + 1$  times procedures, we have  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{\ell+1} (\in \ker P)$  satisfying that  $\psi = \psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \psi_{\ell+1}$  and each  $\psi_i$  is supported in  $V_i$ . Since each  $\psi_i$  is in  $\ker P$ , we have from Theorem 2(2) that  $\psi_i$  can be represented by a product of two commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{T^n, c}^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times T^n)_0$  if  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . Thus  $\psi$  can be represented by a product of  $2(\ell + 1)$  commutators of elements in  $\ker P$  and  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$ . Hence  $f$  can be represented by a product of  $k + 2(\ell + 1)$  commutators of elements in  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$ , where  $k$  and  $\ell$  are bounded numbers. This completes the proof. □

Since  $\pi_2(G) = 0$  for any Lie group  $G$  and  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0 (r \neq 4)$  is uniformly perfect when  $B$  is a 3 dimensional closed manifold ([4, 10]), the above proof induces the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Suppose that  $B$  is a 3 dimensional closed manifold. Then  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect for  $r \neq 4$ .*

We say that a manifold  $B$  belongs to a class  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $B$  is one of the following:

1. an  $m$  dimensional closed manifold ( $m \neq 2, 4$ ) and
2. an  $m$  dimensional closed manifold which has a handle decomposition without handles of the middle index ( $m = 2, 4$ ).

Then Tuboi ([10, 11]) proved the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq \dim B + 1$ , then  $\text{Diff}_c^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $T^n$  bundle over an  $m$ -dimensional closed manifold  $B$ . Suppose that  $m \geq 3$  and  $B$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq m + 1$ , then  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3. □

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $M$  be a closed  $T^n$ -manifold with one orbit type. Suppose that the orbit manifold  $M/G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $r \neq \dim M - \dim G + 1$  and  $\dim M - \dim G \geq 3$ , then  $\text{Diff}_{T^n}^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Corollary of [1] and Corollary 4.4. □

## 5. UNIFORM PERFECTNESS OF $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$ FOR PRINCIPAL $G$ -BUNDLES OVER LOW DIMENSIONAL CLOSED MANIFOLDS

In this section we consider the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  for principal  $G$ -bundles over closed manifolds  $B$  of dimension  $\leq 2$ .

First we consider the case of  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  for principal  $G$ -bundles over  $S^1$ . Since any principal  $G$ -bundle over  $S^1$  is trivial,  $\ker P$  is connected for a compact connected Lie group  $G$ . Furthermore, since  $\text{Diff}^r(S^1)_0$  is uniformly perfect ( $r \neq 2$ ), we have the following from Theorem 3.1(2).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow S^1$  be a principal  $G$  bundle over  $S^1$ . Then  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect for  $r \neq 2$ .*

Next we study the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  for principal  $G$ -bundles over closed orientable surfaces not homeomorphic to  $T^2$ . Then we have the following.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow B$  be a principal  $G$  bundle over a 2 dimensional closed orientable manifold  $B$ .*

1. *When  $B$  is the 2-sphere  $S^2$ ,  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect for  $r \neq 3$ .*
2. *When  $B$  is a closed orientable surface not homeomorphic to  $S^2, T^2$ ,  $\text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0$  is uniformly perfect if and only if  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is uniformly perfect.*

*Proof.* (1) For  $B = S^2$ , we have  $\pi_1(\text{Diff}^r(B)_0, 1) \cong \pi_1(SO(3), 1) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Then the connected components of  $\ker P$  are at most two. Thus (1) follows from Theorem 3.1(2) and the uniform perfectness of  $\text{Diff}^r(S^2)_0$  ( $r \neq 3$ ).

(2) When  $B$  is a closed surface not homeomorphic to  $S^2, T^2$ ,  $\text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is contractible. Thus the fibration  $P : \text{Diff}_G^r(M)_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^r(B)_0$  is trivial. Then  $\ker P$  is connected. Hence (2) follows from Theorem 3.1(2).  $\square$

Finally we have the following problem.

**Problem 5.3.** Discuss the uniform perfectness for the case  $B = T^2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Abe, K. Fukui, *On commutators of equivariant diffeomorphisms*, Proc. Japan Acad. **54** (1978), 52–54.
- [2] K. Abe, K. Fukui, *Erratum and addendum to “Commutators of  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphisms preserving a submanifold”*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **65** (2013) 4, 1–8.
- [3] A. Banyaga, *The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphisms*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [4] D. Burago, S. Ivanov, L. Polterovich, *Conjugation-invariant norms on groups of geometric origin*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **52**, Groups of Diffeomorphisms (2008), 221–250.
- [5] W.D. Curtis, *The automorphism group of a compact group action*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **203** (1975), 45–54.

- [6] K. Fukui, *Commutator length of leaf preserving diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **48** (2012) 3, 615–622.
- [7] J.M. Gambaudo, É. Ghys, *Commutators and diffeomorphisms of surfaces*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **24** (1980) 5, 1591–1617.
- [8] J.N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms I and II*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49** (1974), 512–528; **50** (1975), 33–40.
- [9] W. Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 304–307.
- [10] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform perfectness of diffeomorphism groups*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **52**, Groups of Diffeomorphisms (2008), 505–524.
- [11] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform perfectness of the groups of diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), 141–185.

Kazuhiko Fukui  
fukui@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

Kyoto Sangyo University  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyoto 603-8555, Japan

*Received: August 31, 2016.*

*Accepted: November 16, 2016.*