

## SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS INDUCED BY $p$ -ADIC NUMBER FIELDS

Ilwoo Cho and Palle E.T. Jorgensen

*Communicated by P.A. Cojuhari*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study semicircular-like elements, and semicircular elements induced by  $p$ -adic analysis, for each prime  $p$ . Starting from a  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , we construct a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for a fixed prime  $p$ , and show the generating elements  $Q_{p,j}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  form weighted-semicircular elements, and the corresponding scalar-multiples  $\Theta_{p,j}$  of  $Q_{p,j}$  become semicircular elements, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The main result of this paper is the very construction of suitable linear functionals  $\tau_{p,j}^0$  on  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , making  $Q_{p,j}$  be weighted-semicircular, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Keywords:** free probability, primes,  $p$ -adic number fields  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , Hilbert-space representations,  $C^*$ -algebras, wighted-semicircular elements, semicircular elements.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05E15, 11R47, 11R56, 46L10, 46L40, 47L15, 47L30, 47L55.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to construct *weighted-semicircular*, and *semicircular* elements for a fixed prime  $p$ . Starting from a prime  $p$ , we consider  $p$ -adic analysis on the  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and a certain  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$  of all measurable functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . By establishing suitable  $C^*$ -probabilistic structures on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ , generated by  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , we focus on a semigroup  $S_p$  in  $M_p$ , generating  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of  $M_p$ . By filtering, or sectionizing  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  from a system of linear functionals, we construct-and-study Banach  $*$ -probabilistic structures, and our associated weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements. In classical statistics, and in applications of it, one consider Gaussian elements, or Gaussian processes by taking suitable measures (or suitable probability density functions) (e.g., [1–3] and [20]). By analogy, we construct our semicircular-like, and semicircular elements by taking (a) suitable (system of) linear functionals on a Banach  $*$ -algebra.

Let  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic number fields for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all primes in the natural numbers (or the positive integers)  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then one can naturally understand  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as a measure space  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$ , where  $\mu_p$  is a both-left-and-right additive invariant Haar measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , containing the basis elements of the topology for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , formed by transforming the unit disk  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , satisfying

$$\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1 = \mu(x + \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , consisting of all  $\mu_p$ -measurable functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , is well-determined for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and we cannot help emphasizing the importance of such algebraic structures not only in various mathematical fields (modern number theory, geometry with “very small” distance, and operator theory, etc, e.g., [15, 16, 18, 19] and [30]), but also in other scientific fields (quantum physics, quantum arithmetic chaos theory, etc., e.g., [3, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14] and [29]).

## 1.1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

The relations between primes and operator algebras have been studied in various different approaches (e.g., [3–5, 11, 13, 14, 23, 29] and [32]). For instance, we studied how primes act “on” certain von Neumann algebras generated by  $p$ -adic and Adelic measure spaces (e.g., [9]). Independently, in [7] and [8], we have studied primes as linear functionals acting on arithmetic functions. i.e., each prime  $p$  induces a free-probabilistic structure  $(\mathcal{A}, g_p)$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of all arithmetic functions. In such a case, one can understand arithmetic functions as Krein-space operators, under certain representations (See [11]). And, free-probabilistic research on classical Hecke algebras induced by primes is considered (e.g., [10]).

Motivated by the main results of [9], we realized that our free-probabilistic settings can be applicable, or used for the applied operator theory based on number-theoretic information. In particular, one may construct semicircular law, or semicircular-like law from a fixed prime.

## 1.2. MAIN IDEAS

In this paper, we study certain operators of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $M_p$  induced by the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$  of  $\mu_p$ -measurable functions over a fixed  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In particular, we are interested in mutually-orthogonal projections  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $M_p$  induced by generating elements of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . We show that such projections generate a well-defined embedded sub-semigroup  $S_p$  of  $M_p$ . From such a semigroup, the corresponding semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  is constructed and studied.

From the isomorphism theorem of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , we define Banach-space operators  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  acting “on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ ,” and study fundamental properties of these operators. Then we define a new Banach-space operator  $l_p$  “on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ ” by

$$l_p = c_p + a_p,$$

which gives a filterization, or filterings on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

By fixing a projection  $P_j$  generating  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  in  $M_p$ , construct a system of operators  $\{l_p^n \otimes P_j\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , and study free-distributional data of the elements in the family. We show the family induces (*free*-)semicircular law, under additional processes.

By the semicircularity (e.g., [3,31] and [34]), our semicircular elements have the same free-distributional data with any other semicircular elements in free probability theory under identically free-distributedness. So, more interesting results are from our so-called *weighted-semicircular elements*. We will see that the free-probabilistic information of such semicircular-like elements are determined by the number-theoretic data from  $M_p$ .

Constructions of weighted-semicircular elements and semicircular elements, themselves, are the very main results of this paper. It shows that from a  $p$ -adic analytic data, one can obtain semicircular-like property, and semicircularity.

### 1.3. OVERVIEW

In Section 2, we briefly introduce basic concepts for our proceeding works.

In Sections 3, free-probabilistic models on  $M_p$  is considered in terms of the basis elements of the topology for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In particular, our free-probabilistic structures imply  $p$ -adic-analytic information under  $p$ -adic integration. See Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

In Sections 4, the Hilbert-space representations of the free-probabilistic models of  $M_p$  are established, and the corresponding  $C^*$ -algebras  $M_p$  generated by  $M_p$  are constructed. Our Hilbert space where  $M_p$  act are naturally constructed by defining inner product determined by  $p$ -adic integration of Section 3. Then every element of  $M_p$  is acting on it as a *multiplication operator*.

In Section 5, we build suitable free-probabilistic models of  $M_p$ , and study fundamental free-distributional data on  $M_p$ . See Theorems 5.3 and 5.3, and Corollary 5.4.

In Sections 6, we fix certain projections  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $M_p$ , and establish the corresponding semigroups  $S_p$  generated by the projections, and semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of  $S_p$  in  $M_p$ . The  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  give certain filterizations on  $M_p$ . See Theorems 6.2 and 6.3.

In Section 7, based on the constructions of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , we establish weighted-semicircular elements in a certain Banach  $*$ -probability space  $\mathcal{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p$ . And then, corresponding semicircular elements are obtained from our weighted-semicircular elements. Of course, one can check our semicircular elements are following the semicircular law, meanwhile, our weighted-semicircular elements followed semicircular-like law determined by a fixed prime  $p$ . See Theorems 7.5, 7.11, 7.12 and 7.14.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly mention about backgrounds of our works.

### 2.1. FUNDAMENTALS

Readers can check fundamental analytic-and-combinatorial free probability theory from e.g., [25–27,31,33] and [34]. *Free probability* is understood as the noncommutative (and hence, covering commutative) operator-algebraic version of classical probability

theory. The classical *independence* is replaced by the *freeness*. It has various applications not only in pure mathematics (e.g., [22, 24] and [23]), but also in related mathematical-and-scientific topics (e.g., [5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17] and [32]). In particular, we will use combinatorial free probabilistic approach of *Speicher* (e.g., [25–27] and [28]). *Free moments* and *free cumulants* of operators will be computed without introducing in detail.

### 2.2. $p$ -ADIC NUMBER FIELDS $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the corresponding  $p$ -adic number field. Then this set  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a well-defined *ring*, which is regarded as a *Banach space* equipped with the  $p$ -norm  $|\cdot|_p$ , defined by

$$|x|_p = |p^k r|_p = \frac{1}{p^k},$$

whenever  $x = p^k r$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For instance,

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_2 = |2^2 \cdot 3^{-1}|_2 = \frac{1}{2^2} = \frac{1}{4},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_3 = |4 \cdot 3^{-1}|_3 = \frac{1}{3^{-1}} = 3,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_q = 0, \text{ whenever } q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}.$$

As a topological space,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has its basis elements transforming the unit disk

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p = 1\} \text{ of } \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

consisting of all *p-adic integers*, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^k \mathbb{Z}_p, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$p^k \mathbb{Z}_p = \{p^k y : y \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Throughout this paper, we write

$$U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with  $U_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , for convenience.

Also, the  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a measure space,

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p),$$

equipped with the additive left-and-right invariant *Haar measure*  $\mu_p$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Note that

$$\mu_p(U_k) = \frac{1}{p^k} = \mu_p(x + U_k), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , satisfying,

$$\mu_p(U_0) = \mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1.$$

Remark that, by the very definition, one has the following chain relation,

$$\cdots \subset U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 \subset U_{-1} \subset U_{-2} \subset \cdots, \tag{2.3}$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

In conclusion, a  $p$ -adic number  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a Banach (topological, measure-theoretic) ring, satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). For more details, see [29].

Whenever we fix an integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can determine so-called the  $k$ -th boundary  $\partial_k$  of  $U_k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ;

$$\partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}, \tag{2.4}$$

by (2.3), where  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$ , for all sets  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $B^c$  is the complement of  $B$  (in a universal set containing  $A$  and  $B$ ). Remark that, by (2.2) and (2.4), one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(\partial_k) &= \mu_p(U_k) - \mu_p(U_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} = \mu_p(x + \partial_k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Also, remark that, by (2.4), one obtains the partition of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_p} U_k, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\bigsqcup$  means the *disjoint union*.

By understanding  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as a measure space, we have the (pure-algebraic)  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$  consisting of all  $\mu_p$ -measurable functions over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}_p = \{f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is } \mu_p\text{-measurable}\}, \tag{2.7}$$

equipped with the usual functional addition, and the usual functional multiplications.

By definition, if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , it is expressed by

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S, \quad \text{with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\chi_S$  are the usual characteristic functions for  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , having its adjoint,

$$f^* = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \bar{t}_S \chi_S,$$

where  $\bar{z}$  are the conjugates of  $z$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\sum$  is a finite sum.

Indeed, the vector space  $\mathcal{M}_p$  of (2.7) forms a well-defined  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , one can have the  $p$ -adic integral of  $f$  by

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \mu_p(S).$$

Note that, by (2.6), if  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then there exists a subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$\Lambda_S = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : S \cap \partial_j \neq \emptyset\}, \tag{2.8}$$

satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

by (2.4), (2.6) and (2.5), i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , where  $\Lambda_S$  is subset (2.8) of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . More precisely, one can get the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and let  $\chi_S \in \mathcal{M}_p$ . Then there exist  $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_S, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* By (2.9), whenever  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , there exists a subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , in the sense of (2.8), such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

because

$$\mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \leq \mu_p(\partial_j) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all  $j \in \Lambda_S$ .

So, for each  $j \in \Lambda_S$ , there exists a unique  $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1,$$

and

$$\mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Therefore, one can get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right). \quad \square$$

By (2.10), one obtains that if

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \in \mathcal{M}_p,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j^S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $r_j^S$  are in the sense of (2.10), for all  $j \in \Lambda_S$ , for all  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

The formula (2.11), obtained from (2.10), provides a universal technique to establish  $p$ -adic calculus.

### 3. FREE PROBABILITY ON $\mathcal{M}_p$

Throughout this section, fix a prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the corresponding  $p$ -adic number field, and let  $\mathcal{M}_p$  be the  $*$ -algebra consisting of all  $\mu_p$ -measurable functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In this section, let's establish a suitable free-probabilistic model on the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . Remark that free probability provides a universal tool to study free distributions on “noncommutative” algebras, and hence, it covers the cases where given algebras are commutative.

As in Section 2.2, let  $U_k$  be the basis elements of of the topology for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.1)$$

with their boundaries  $\partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$ .

Define a linear functional  $\varphi_p : \mathcal{M}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\varphi_p(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{M}_p. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, by (3.2), one naturally obtains that

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j}, \text{ and } \varphi_p(\chi_{\partial_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Moreover, by the commutativity on  $\mathcal{M}_p$ ,

$$\varphi_p(f_1 f_2) = \varphi_p(f_2 f_1), \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p,$$

and hence, this linear functional  $\varphi_p$  of (3.2) is a *trace* on  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The free probability space  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$  is called the  $p$ -adic free probability space, for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\varphi_p$  is the linear functional (3.2) on  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

Let  $U_k$  be in the sense of (3.1) in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $\chi_{U_k} \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}},$$

by (2.3), where  $\max\{k_1, k_2\}$  means the *maximum* in  $\{k_1, k_2\}$ .

Say  $k_1 \leq k_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $U_{k_1} \supseteq U_{k_2}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , by (2.3). Therefore,  $U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2} = U_{k_2}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . So, if  $k_1 \leq k_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_2}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $U_k$  be in the sense of (3.1) in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \tag{3.3}$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}}) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}}.$$

*Proof.* By the discussion in the very above paragraph,

$$U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2} = U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}} \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

by (2.3), for  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So,

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}},$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}}) = \mu_p(U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}}. \quad \square$$

Inductive to (3.3), we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \tag{3.4}$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p\left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}}\right) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of (3.4) is done by induction on (3.3). □

Now, let  $\partial_k$  be the  $k$ -th boundary  $U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$  of  $U_k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, for  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one obtains that

$$\chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}} = \chi_{\partial_{k_1} \cap \partial_{k_2}} = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \chi_{\partial_{k_1}}, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\delta$  means the *Kronecker delta*, and hence,

$$\varphi_p (\chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}}) = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \varphi_p (\chi_{\partial_{k_1}}) = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \left( \frac{1}{p^{k_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{k_1+1}} \right).$$

So, we obtain the following computations.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \tag{3.6}$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} \right) = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \left( \frac{1}{p^{j_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{j_1+1}} \right),$$

where

$$\delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} = \left( \prod_{l=1}^{N-1} \delta_{j_l, j_{l+1}} \right) (\delta_{j_N, j_1}).$$

*Proof.* The proof of (3.6) is done by (3.5). □

Thus, one can get that, for any  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,

$$\varphi_p (\chi_S) = \varphi_p \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \right)$$

where  $\Lambda_S$  is in the sense of (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \varphi_p (\chi_{S \cap \partial_j}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p (S \cap \partial_j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

by (2.10), where  $0 \leq r_j \leq 1$  are in the sense of (2.10), for all  $j \in \Lambda_S$ .

Also, if  $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{S_1}\chi_{S_2} &= \left( \sum_{k \in \Lambda_{S_1}} \chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \right) \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_2}} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} (\chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j}) \\
 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} \delta_{k,j} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2},$$

because  $\partial_k \cap \partial_j = \delta_{k,j} \partial_j$ , for all  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thus, there exist  $w_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$0 \leq w_j \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\Lambda_{S_1, S_2}$  is in the sense of (3.8), and

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1}\chi_{S_2}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} w_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

by (3.8) and (2.10), for all  $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\chi_{S_l} \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ , and let*

$$\Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2},$$

where  $\Lambda_{S_l}$  are in the sense of (2.8), for  $l = 1, 2$ . Then there exist  $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1}\chi_{S_2}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof of (3.10) is done by (3.8) and (3.9). □

**Remark 3.6.** In fact, the above lemma can be re-formulated as follows. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are given as above, then

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1}\chi_{S_2}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} \neq \emptyset, \\ \mu_p(\emptyset) = 0 & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \emptyset. \end{cases}
 \tag{3.11}$$

In the following text, if we mention (3.10), then it means (3.11), precisely.

By the above lemma, we obtain the following general result under induction.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and let  $\chi_{S_l} \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let*

$$\Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} = \bigcap_{l=1}^N \Lambda_{S_l} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\Lambda_{S_l}$  are in the sense of (2.8), for  $l = 1, \dots, N$ . Then there exist  $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\varphi_p \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* The proof of (3.12) is done by induction on (3.10) (or (3.11)). □

Similar to (3.10) and (3.11), the above formula (3.12) is refined by

$$\varphi_p \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} = \emptyset. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.12) (or (3.13)), we obtain that if

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p), \text{ with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

then

$$\varphi_p(f) = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \varphi_p(\chi_S) = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j^S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right),$$

where  $r_j^S$  are in the sense of (3.12), for all  $j \in \Lambda_S$ .

Therefore, one can get the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $f_l = \sum_{S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_{S_l} \chi_{S_l}$  be elements of our  $p$ -adic free probability space  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ , with  $t_{S_l} \in \mathbb{C}$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\varphi_p \left( \prod_{l=1}^N f_l \right) = \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left( \prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \tag{3.14}$$

where  $r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)}$  are in the sense of (3.12), for all  $j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}$  (whenever it is nonempty).

*Proof.* Suppose  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  be given as above in  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ . Then

$$T = \prod_{l=1}^N f_l = \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left( \prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right)$$

in  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(T) &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left( \prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \varphi_p \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left( \prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left( \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.12) (or (3.13)), where  $r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)}$  are in the sense of (3.12). □

The above joint free-moment formula (3.14) provides a universal tool to compute the free distributions of free random variables in our  $p$ -adic free probability space  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ .

#### 4. REPRESENTATIONS OF $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$

Fix a prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$  be the  $p$ -adic free probability space. Now, we construct a representation of the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . By understanding  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as a measure space, construct the  $L^2$ -space,

$$H_p \stackrel{def}{=} L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p) = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \tag{4.1}$$

over  $\mathbb{C}$ , consisting of all *square-integrable*  $\mu_p$ -measurable functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then this  $L^2$ -space is a well-defined *Hilbert space* equipped with its *inner product*  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ ,

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_2 \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_1 f_2^* d\mu_p, \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in H_p. \tag{4.2}$$

Naturally,  $H_p$  is the  $\| \cdot \|_2$ -norm completion, where

$$\|f\|_2 \stackrel{def}{=} \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_2}, \text{ for all } f \in H_p,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  is the inner product (4.2) on  $H_p$ .

**Definition 4.1.** We call the Hilbert space  $H_p = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of (4.1), the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space.

By the very construction (4.1) of the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space  $H_p$ , our  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$  acts on  $H_p$ , via an *algebra-action*  $\alpha$ ,

$$\alpha(f)(h) = fh, \text{ for all } h \in H_p, \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ . i.e., the morphism  $\alpha$  of (4.3) is an action of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  acting on the Hilbert space  $H_p$ . i.e., for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , the image  $\alpha(f)$  is an operator on  $H_p$  contained in the operator algebra  $B(H_p)$  of all (bounded linear) operators on  $H_p$ .

Denote  $\alpha(f)$  by  $\alpha_f$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , where  $\alpha$  is in the sense of (4.3). Also, for convenience, denote  $\alpha_{\chi_S}$  simply by  $\alpha_S$ , for all  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . For instance,

$$\alpha_{U_k} = \alpha_{\chi_{U_k}} = \alpha(\chi_{U_k}),$$

and

$$\alpha_{\partial_k} = \alpha_{\chi_{\partial_k}} = \alpha(\chi_{\partial_k}),$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $U_k$  are in the sense of (3.1), and  $\partial_k$  are the corresponding boundaries of  $U_k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By (4.3), the linear morphism  $\alpha$  is a well-determined  $*$ -algebra action of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  acting on  $H_p$ . Indeed,

$$\alpha_{t_1f_1+t_2f_2}(h) = (t_1f_1 + t_2f_2)h = t_1f_1h + t_2f_2h = t_1\alpha_{f_1}(h) + t_2\alpha_{f_2}(h),$$

for all  $h \in H_p$ , for all  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , and  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ;

$$\alpha_{f_1f_2}(h) = f_1f_2h = f_1(f_2h) = f_1(\alpha_{f_2}(h)) = \alpha_{f_1}\alpha_{f_2}(h),$$

for all  $h \in H_p$ , for all  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$ ; and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_f(h_1), h_2 \rangle_2 &= \langle fh_1, h_2 \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} fh_1h_2^*d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1fh_2^*d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1(h_2f^*)^*d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1(f^*h_2)^*d\mu_p = \langle h_1, \alpha_{f^*}(h_2) \rangle_2, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$ , and for all  $h_1, h_2 \in H_p$ , which implies that

$$\alpha_f^* = \alpha_{f^*}, \text{ for all } f.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *The linear morphism  $\alpha$  of (4.3) is a well-defined  $*$ -algebra action of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  acting on  $H_p$ . Equivalently, the pair  $(H_p, \alpha)$  is a well-determined Hilbert-space representation of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .*

*Proof.* By the discussions in the very above paragraphs, the linear morphism  $\alpha$  satisfies that

$$\alpha_{f_1 f_2} = \alpha_{f_1} \alpha_{f_2} \text{ on } H_p,$$

and

$$\alpha_{f_1}^* = \alpha_{f_1^*} \text{ on } H_p,$$

for all  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$ . i.e.,  $\alpha$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{M}_p$  into  $B(H_p)$ . Therefore, the pair  $(H_p, \alpha)$  is a Hilbert-space representation of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .  $\square$

In the above proposition, we showed that the pair  $(H_p, \alpha)$  of the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space  $H_p$  and the action  $\alpha$  of (4.3) is a Hilbert-space representation of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

**Definition 4.3.** The Hilbert-space representation  $(H_p, \alpha)$  is said to be the  $p$ -adic (Hilbert-space) representation of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

By the definition (4.3) of the action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , it generates *multiplication operators*  $\alpha_f$  on the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space  $H_p$  of (4.1) with their symbols  $f$ , for all  $f \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $M_p$  be the operator-norm closure of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  in the operator algebra  $B(H_p)$ , i.e.,

$$M_p \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\alpha(\mathcal{M}_p)} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[\alpha_f : f \in \mathcal{M}_p]} \text{ in } B(H_p), \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\overline{X}$  mean the operator-norm closures of subsets  $X$  of  $B(H_p)$ . Then this  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$  is called the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra of  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ .

### 5. FREE PROBABILITY ON $M_p$

Throughout this section, we fix a prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$  be the corresponding  $p$ -adic free probability space, and  $(H_p, \alpha)$ , the  $p$ -adic representation of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , and let  $M_p$  be the corresponding  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra of  $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ . In this section, we consider suitable free-probabilistic models on  $M_p$ . In particular, we are interested in a system  $\{\varphi_j^p\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of linear functionals on  $M_p$ , determined by the  $j$ -th boundaries  $\{\partial_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Define a linear functional  $\varphi_j^p : M_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by a linear morphism,

$$\varphi_j^p(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \alpha_a(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2, \text{ for all } a \in M_p, \tag{5.1}$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  is the inner product (4.2) on the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space  $H_p$  of (4.1).

First, remark that, if  $a \in M_p$ , then

$$a = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \text{ in } M_p, \text{ with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\sum$  is a finite or an infinite (limit of finite) sum(s), under  $C^*$ -topology of  $M_p$ . Thus, the above definition (5.1) is well-defined, and every linear functional  $\varphi_j^p$  are bounded on  $M_p$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\varphi_j^p$  be the linear functional (5.1) on the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ . Then the  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$  is said to be the  $j$ -th ( $p$ -adic)  $C^*$ -probability space.

So, one can get the system

$$\{(M_p, \varphi_j^p) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of  $C^*$ -probability spaces for a fixed  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ .

Now, fix  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and take the corresponding  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$  for  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and an element  $\chi_S \in M_p$ , one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^p(\chi_S) &= \langle \alpha_S(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 = \langle \chi_{S \cap \partial_j}, \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \chi_{\partial_j}^* d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \chi_{\partial_j} d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p = \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some  $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e., there exists  $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ , such that

$$\varphi_j^p(\chi_S) = \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \tag{5.2}$$

for any  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\alpha_S = \alpha_{\chi_S} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists  $r_S \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$0 \leq r_S \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\varphi_j(\alpha_S^n) = r_S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Remark that the element  $\alpha_S$  is a projection in  $M_p$  in the sense that

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha_S = \alpha_S^2 \text{ in } M_p,$$

since

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha(\chi_S)^* = \alpha(\chi_S^*) = \alpha(\chi_S) = \alpha_S,$$

and

$$\alpha_S^2 = \alpha(\chi_S^2) = \alpha(\chi_S) = \alpha_S \text{ in } M_p.$$

So,

$$\alpha_S^n = \alpha_S, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S) = r_S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for some  $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , by (5.2). □

The free-moment formula (5.3) characterizes the free distributions of  $\chi_S$  in the  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for all  $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

More precisely, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\alpha_{S_l} = \alpha(\chi_{S_l}) \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$0 \leq r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\varphi_j \left( \left( \prod_{l=1}^N \alpha_{S_l} \right)^n \right) = r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_1, \dots, S_N$  be  $\mu_p$ -measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let

$$S = \bigcap_{l=1}^N S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Then, one has that

$$\alpha_S = \prod_{l=1}^N \alpha_{S_l} \text{ in } M_p,$$

satisfying

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha_S = \alpha_S^2 \text{ in } M_p.$$

Indeed, if  $S \neq \emptyset$ , then the above projection-property holds in  $M_p$ , and if  $S = \emptyset$ , then  $\chi_S = 0_{M_p}$ , the zero element of  $M_p$ , which is a projection, too. So,

$$\alpha_S^n = \alpha_S, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S) = r_S \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for some  $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , by (5.3), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

The above joint free-moment formula (5.4) characterizes the free-distributions of finitely many projections  $\alpha_{S_1}, \dots, \alpha_{S_N}$  in the  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

As corollaries of (5.4), we obtain the following results.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $U_k$  be in the sense of (3.1), and  $\partial_k$ , the  $k$ -th boundaries of  $U_k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}^n) = \delta_{j,k} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Observe first that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \mu_p(\partial_j \cap \partial_k) = \delta_{j,k} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \delta_{j,k} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because

$$\partial_k \cap \partial_j = \delta_{j,k} \partial_j \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Consider now that, for an arbitrarily given  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$U_k \cap \partial_j = \left( \bigsqcup_{l \geq k \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}} \partial_l \right) \cap \partial_j = \begin{cases} \partial_j & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } k > j. \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}^n) &= \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}) = \mu_p(U_k \cap \partial_j) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ \mu_p(\emptyset) = 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by (5.6), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

Now, let  $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $a_l = \chi_{S_l} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ . Then, for

$$(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have the joint *free cumulant* in terms of  $\varphi_j^p$ ,

$$k_n^{p,j}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}) = \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_j^p \left( \prod_{i_l \in V} a_{i_l} \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

by the *Möbius inversion* of [26]

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \mu_p \left( \left( \bigcap_{i_l \in V} S_{i_l} \right) \cap \partial_j \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \left( r_{\pi,V} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n), \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

by (5.4), where  $0 \leq r_{\pi,V} \leq 1$  are in the sense of (5.4).

Therefore, by the free cumulant formula (5.7), we obtain the following freeness condition on the  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $a_l = \alpha_{S_l} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ . If*

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_l} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2,$$

where  $\Lambda_{S_l}$  are in the sense of (2.8), for  $l = 1, 2$ , then two free random variables  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are free in  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ . i.e.,

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_l}, l = 1 \text{ or } l = 2 \Rightarrow \alpha_{S_1} \text{ and } \alpha_{S_2} \text{ are free in } (M_p, \varphi_j^p). \tag{5.8}$$

*Proof.* Assume that

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_1}, \text{ and } j \notin \Lambda_{S_2} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\Lambda_{S_l} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : S_l \cap \partial_k \neq \emptyset\}$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ . Then, by (5.3) (or, by (5.4)),

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{S_l}^n) = 0, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, by the above assumption, we have

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2}.$$

So, if

$$(i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \{1, 2\}^N$$

is “mixed” in  $\{1, 2\}$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , then

$$\varphi_j^p \left( \prod_{t=1}^N \alpha_{S_{i_t}} \right) = 0,$$

by (5.4).

It shows that, the self-adjoint elements  $\chi_{S_1}$  and  $\chi_{S_2}$  have not only vanishing free moments, but also vanishing mixed free moments.

So, by (5.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} k_n^{p,j}(\alpha_{S_{i_1}}, \dots, \alpha_{S_{i_n}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \left( r_{\pi, V} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} (0) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all “mixed”  $n$ -tuples  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

It guarantees that two random variables  $\chi_{S_1}$  and  $\chi_{S_2}$  are free in  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ . □

### 6. PROJECTIONS $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ AND THE SEMIGROUP $S_p$ IN $M_p$

Let’s fix a prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . In Section 5, we considered the free probability on the  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$ , where  $M_p$  is the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\varphi_j^p$  is the linear

functional (5.1), for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, we observed fundamental free distributions of self-adjoint generating elements of  $M_p$ .

In this section, we concentrate on a system of *projections*,

$$\{P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j} = \alpha_{\chi_{\partial_j}} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \tag{6.1}$$

in  $M_p$ . Remark that these projections are mutually orthogonal from each other in the sense that

$$P_{j_1} P_{j_2} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} P_{j_1} \text{ in } M_p, \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

because

$$\chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \chi_{\partial_{j_2}} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} \chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \text{ in } M_p, \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $P_k = \alpha_{\partial_k}$  be a projection (6.1) in the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, as we have seen in (5.5),

$$\varphi_j^p(P_k) = \delta_{j,k} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \delta_{j,k} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \tag{6.2}$$

for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, from the system  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of mutually orthogonal projections, let's construct the *multiplicative semigroup*  $S_p$  in  $M_p$ ,

$$S_p \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \rangle \text{ in } M_p, \tag{6.3}$$

under the inherited operator multiplication on  $M_p$ .

Then this algebraic structure  $S_p$  of (6.3) is a well-determined commutative semigroup in  $M_p$ , which is not a group. Indeed, the inherited operator multiplication is associative on  $S_p$ , but it has no identity in  $S_p$ . We call  $S_p$ , the *projection semigroup* of the system  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of (6.1).

**Definition 6.1.** Define the  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$  by the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the projection semigroup  $S_p$  of (6.3). We call  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  the *projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra* of  $M_p$ .

Our projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  of  $M_p$  has the following structure theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be the projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_p$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{* \text{-iso}}{=} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_k) \stackrel{* \text{-iso}}{=} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} \text{ in } M_p, \tag{6.4}$$

where “ $\stackrel{* \text{-iso}}{=}$ ” means “being  $*$ -isomorphic”, and where  $\oplus$  means topological direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Proof.* Let  $S_p$  be the projection semigroup of the system  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of mutually orthogonal projections  $P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j}$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{S}_p = C^*(S_p)$  be the corresponding

projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ . Then, by the very definition (6.3),

$$\mathfrak{S}_p = C^*(S_p) = C^*(\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}\{\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\}},$$

where  $\overline{X}$  are the  $C^*$ -norm closures of the subsets  $X$  of  $M_p$

$$= \overline{\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j)}$$

by the mutually orthogonality of  $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , and the projection-property of all  $P_j$ 's, where  $\bigoplus$  means pure-algebraic direct sum of algebras

$$= \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j) = \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|}. \quad \square$$

The above structure theorem (6.4) of the projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  shows that the embedded structure  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  provides a certain filterization, or diagonalization in the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ .

Let  $(M_p, \varphi_j^p)$  be the  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability spaces of Section 5, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be our projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_p$ . Then, naturally, one obtains the system of  $C^*$ -probability spaces,

$$\{(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{6.5}$$

by restricting the linear functionals  $\varphi_j^p$  on  $M_p$  to those on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

And free-distributional data on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  is completely determined by the following result.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p)$  be a  $C^*$ -probability space in (6.5), for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For an element*

$$T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \in \mathfrak{S}_p, \text{ with } t_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

we have

$$\varphi_j^p(T^n) = t_j^n \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6.6}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \in \mathfrak{S}_p$ , with  $t_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, by the structure theorem (6.4),  $T$  is equivalent to

$$T \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} = \mathfrak{S}_p,$$

satisfying that

$$T^n \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \left( \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \right)^n = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k,$$

in  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore, for any fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can get that

$$\varphi_j^p(T^n) = \varphi_j^p\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k\right) = \varphi_j^p(t_j^n P_j) = t_j^n \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right),$$

by (6.2), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

### 7. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULARITY INDUCED BY $\mathcal{M}_p$

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and let  $M_p$  be the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra induced by the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , under the  $p$ -adic representation  $(H_p, \alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be the projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_p$ , satisfying the structure theorem (6.4);

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{\cong} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j),$$

where  $P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j}$  are the mutually-orthogonal projections of (6.1), for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Also, let  $\{(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the system (6.5) of  $j$ -th  $C^*$ -probability spaces of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Recall again that

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}\right), \text{ for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.1}$$

Recall now that an *arithmetic function*  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a *Euler totient function*, if

$$\phi(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \gcd(k, n) = 1\}|, \tag{7.2}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\gcd$  means the *greatest common divisor*, and where  $|X|$  mean the *cardinalities of sets  $X$* .

It is a well-determined *multiplicative arithmetic function* in the sense that

$$\phi(n_1 n_2) = \phi(n_1) \phi(n_2),$$

whenever  $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , because

$$\phi(n) = n \left( \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.3}$$

By (7.2) and (7.3), the Euler totient function  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\phi(p) = p - 1 = p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

So, our free-moment computation (7.1) can be re-stated as follows:

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \delta_{j,k} \frac{1}{p^j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \delta_{j,k} \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \phi(p), \tag{7.4}$$

for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Define now a morphism  $\tau_j^p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  by a linear functional satisfying

$$\tau_j^p \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \varphi_j^p, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.5}$$

Then the pairs  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$  are well-determined  $C^*$ -probability spaces, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j^p(P_k) &= \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{\phi(p)} \varphi_j^p(P_j) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{\phi(p)} \left( \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \\ &= \delta_{j,k} \left( \frac{1}{p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p^j} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{p^{j+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 7.1.** We call the  $C^*$ -probability spaces  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$ , the  $j$ -th projection (-semigroup  $C^*$ -)probability spaces, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Free distributional data on the  $j$ -th projection probability spaces  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$  is characterized as follows.

**Proposition 7.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$  be the  $j$ -th projection probability space for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\tau_j^p$  is the linear functional (7.5), for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\tau_j^p(P_k^n) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{p^{j+1}}, \text{ for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{7.7}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The free distribution (7.7) of a projection  $P_k$  is obtained by (7.6), for all  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

Now, we have all ingrediants to construct semicircular-like property, and semicircularity induced by  $\mathcal{M}_p$ .

### 7.1. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULARITY AND SEMICIRCULARITY

Let  $(A, \varphi)$  be an arbitrary (topological, or pure-algebraic)  $*$ -probability space of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , and a linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$ . Remember that  $*$ -algebra is an algebra equipped with the *adjoint* ( $*$ ) on  $A$ . An element  $a$  of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be *self-adjoint*, if  $a^* = a$  in  $A$ , where  $a^*$  is the adjoint of  $a$ .

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $a$  be a free random variable in a  $*$ -probability space  $(A, \varphi)$ , and let  $k_n(\dots)$  be the free cumulant on  $A$  in terms of  $\varphi$  (e.g., see [26]). The given free random variable  $a$  is said to be semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , if (i)  $a$  is self-adjoint, and (ii)  $a$  satisfies

$$k_n(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Formore about free moments and free cumulants, see [26] and cited papers therein. By the *Möbius inversion* of [26], one can get the equivalent definition of the semicircularity (7.8) as follows: A free random variable  $a$  is semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , if and only if (i)  $a$  is self-adjoint, and (ii) all odd free-moments of  $a$  vanish, equivalently,

$$\varphi(a^{2n-1}) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{7.9}$$

and (iii) all even free-moments of  $a$  satisfy

$$\varphi(a^{2n}) = c_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{7.10}$$

where  $c_n$  are the  $n$ -th *Catalan number*,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!},$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [26]).

So, the free-moment formulas (7.9) and (7.10) characterize the semicircularity (7.8) under self-adjointness.

Motivated by the definition (7.8) of semicircularity, we define the following semicircular-like property, called the *weighted-semicircularity* as follows.

**Definition 7.4.** A free random variable  $a \in (A, \varphi)$  is said to be *weighted-semicircular* in  $(A, \varphi)$  with weight  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  (in short,  $t_0$ -semicircular), if  $a$  is self-adjoint in  $A$ , and

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = \begin{cases} k_2(a, a) = t_0 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.11}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Of course, if  $t_0 = 1$ , then 1-semicircularity of (7.11) is the same as the semicircularity (7.8).

By the Möbius inversion of [26], if a free random variable  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , then

$$\varphi(a^{2m-1}) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a^{2m}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2m)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} k_{|V|}(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{|V|\text{-times}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} k_{|V|}(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{|V|\text{-times}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$NC_2(2m) = \{\theta \in NC(2m) : \forall V \in \pi, |V| = 2\}$$

by (7.11)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} k_2(a, a) \right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} t_0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left( t_0^{|\pi|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\pi|$  means the number of blocks of the partition  $\pi$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} t_0^m$$

since all noncrossing partitions  $\pi$  in  $NC_2(2m)$  has  $\frac{2m}{2}$ -many blocks

$$= t_0^m \left( \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} 1 \right) = t_0^m c_m,$$

where  $c_m$  means the  $m$ -th Catalan number, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So, by the definition (7.11), one obtains that: if  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , then it is self-adjoint, and there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{7.12}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 7.5.** *Let  $a \in (A, \varphi)$  be a self-adjoint non-zero free random variable. Then  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , if and only if*

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{7.13}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the free-moment characterization (7.13) of the  $t_0$ -semicircularity is done by (7.11) and (7.12), via the Möbius inversion of [26].

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , then the free-moment formula (7.13) holds by (7.12).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If a self-adjoint free random variable  $a$  satisfies the free-moment computation (7.13) in  $(A, \varphi)$ , then

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n), \tag{7.14}$$

by the Möbius inversion, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since all odd free-moments of  $a$  vanish by (7.13), whenever a block  $V$  of any noncrossing partition contains odd-many elements, then  $\varphi(a^{|V|}) = 0$ , and hence, if a partition  $\pi$  contains a block  $V_0$  with odd-many elements, then

$$\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) = \left( \varphi(a^{|V_0|}) \right) \left( \prod_{V \in \pi, V \neq V_0} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) = 0.$$

Notice now that all noncrossing partitions  $\pi$  of  $NC(n)$  contains at least one odd block in  $\pi$ , whenever  $n$  is odd in  $\mathbb{N}$ . So, by (7.14), one obtains that

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = 0, \text{ whenever } n \text{ is odd in } \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.15}$$

Now, let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and observe

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2k)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2k)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}), \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

where

$$NC_e(2k) = \{\theta \in NC(2k) : \forall B \in \theta \Rightarrow |B| \text{ is even}\}.$$

It is not difficult to check that the sub-lattice  $NC_e(2k)$  of the lattice  $NC(2k)$  is equivalent to  $NC(k)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, the formula (7.16) goes to

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left( \prod_{B \in \theta} \varphi(a^{2|B|}) \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left( \prod_{B \in \theta} t_0^{|B|} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} t_0^k \left( \prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= t_0^k \left( \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left( \prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

by (7.9) and (7.10) (which are equivalent to the semicircularity (7.8)). Indeed,

$$\sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left( \prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) = 0,$$

whenever  $k \neq 1$ , because of the semicircularity.

Therefore, if the free-moment computation (7.13) holds, then  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , by (7.15) and (7.17).

Thus, by  $(\Rightarrow)$  and  $(\Leftarrow)$ , a self-adjoint element  $a$  is  $t_0$ -semicircular in  $(A, \varphi)$ , if and only if it satisfies

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

So, by the above free-moment characterization (7.13), our  $t_0$ -semicircularity (7.11) can be re-stated.

### 7.2. TENSOR PRODUCT BANACH \*-ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$

Let  $M_p$  be the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra containing its  $p$ -adic projection-semigroup  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , and let  $\tau_k^p$  be linear functionals (7.5) on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Throughout this section, we fix  $k$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and the corresponding  $k$ -th  $C^*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_k^p)$ . The formula (7.6) says that

$$\tau_k^p(P_j) = \frac{\delta_{k,j}}{p^{k+1}}, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.18}$$

Recall that

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} \text{ in } M_p, \tag{7.19}$$

by the structure theorem (6.4).

By (7.19), one can define a *Banach-space operators*  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  “acting on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ ” by linear transformations satisfying

$$c_p(P_j) = P_{j+1}, \text{ and } a_p(P_j) = P_{j-1}, \tag{7.20}$$

acting on  $\mathfrak{S}$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By the very definition (7.20), these linear operators  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  are bounded (or continuous) under the operator-norm of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , inherited from the  $C^*$ -norm on the  $p$ -adic  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_p$ . So, they are well-defined Banach-space operators acting “on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .”

**Definition 7.6.** The Banach-space operators  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  in the sense of (7.20) are called the  $(p)$ -creation, respectively, the  $(p)$ -annihilation on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Define a new Banach-space operator  $l_p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  by

$$l_p = c_p + a_p \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}_p. \tag{7.21}$$

We call this operator  $l_p$  of (7.21), the  $(p)$ -radial operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

Let  $l_p$  be the radial operator  $c_p + a_p$  of (7.21) on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , where  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  are the creation, respectively, the annihilation of (7.20). Construct a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  by

$$\mathfrak{L}_p = \overline{\mathbb{C}[l_p]} \text{ in } B(\mathfrak{S}_p), \tag{7.22}$$

where  $B(\mathfrak{S}_p)$  means the (topological) operator space, consisting of all bounded (equivalently, continuous) linear transformations on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , equipped with its operator-norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , defined by

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} : x \in \mathfrak{S}_p, \|x\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = 1\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = \sup\{\|x(h)\|_p : h \in H_p, \|h\|_p = 1\},$$

giving the  $C^*$ -norm topology on  $M_p$  (and hence, on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ ), where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  means the Hilbert-space norm on the  $p$ -adic Hilbert space  $H_p$ .

By the definition (7.22) of the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{L}_p$ , every element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  is expressed by

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k l_p^k, \text{ with } t_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

in  $\mathfrak{L}_p$ , with identity:  $l_p^0 = 1_{\mathfrak{L}_p}$ , the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{L}_p$ , satisfying that:

$$1_{\mathfrak{L}_p}(P_j) = P_j, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, define the adjoint on  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  by

$$x^* = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k l_p^k \right)^* \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{t_k} l_p^k.$$

Then the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  forms a Banach  $*$ -algebra.

**Definition 7.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  be the Banach  $*$ -algebra (7.22) in the operator space  $B(\mathfrak{S}_p)$ . We call it the ( $p$ -adic) radial (Banach- $*$ -)algebra on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L}_p$  be the radial algebra on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Construct now the tensor product Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  by

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p = \mathfrak{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.23}$$

where  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  means the tensor product of Banach  $*$ -algebras.

Consider elements  $l_p^k \otimes P_j$  of the tensor product Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  of (7.23), for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the very definition (7.23), such elements  $l_p^k \otimes P_j$  generate  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ . We concentrate on such generating operators  $l_p^k \otimes P_j$  of  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , later.

Define a morphism

$$E_p : \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_p$$

by a linear transformation satisfying that:

$$E_p(l_p^k \otimes P_j) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k+1}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1} l_p^k(P_j), \tag{7.24}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  means the *minimal integer* greater than or equal to  $\frac{k}{2}$ , for instance,

$$\lceil \frac{3}{2} \rceil = 2 = \lceil \frac{4}{2} \rceil.$$

By (7.19), if

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n l_p^{n_k} \otimes s_n P_j) \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \text{ with } t_n, s_n \in \mathbb{C},$$

for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) (l_p^{n_k} \otimes P_j),$$

and hence,

$$E_p(T) = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) E_p(l_p^{n_k} \otimes P_j) = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n_k+1}}{\lceil \frac{n_k}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{n_k}(P_j), \tag{7.25}$$

in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , by (7.24).

Note that, if  $Q_l = l_p^{k_l} \otimes P_{j_l} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for  $4k_l \in \mathbb{N}_0, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(Q_1 Q_2) &= E_p(l_p^{k_1} l_p^{k_2} \otimes P_{j_1} P_{j_2}) \\ &= E_p(l_p^{k_1+k_2} \otimes (\delta_{j_1, j_2} P_{j_1})) \\ &= \delta_{j_1, j_2} E_p(l_p^{k_1+k_2} \otimes P_{j_1}) \\ &= \delta_{j_1, j_2} \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k_1+k_2+1}}{\lceil \frac{k_1+k_2}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{k_1+k_2}(P_{j_1}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let  $Q_l = l_p^{k_l} \otimes P_{j_l} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for  $k_l \in \mathbb{N}_0, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 1, 2$ . If  $E_p$  is the morphism in the sense of (7.24), then*

$$E_p(Q_1 Q_2) = \delta_{j_1, j_2} \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k_1+k_2+1}}{\lceil \frac{k_1+k_2}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{k_1+k_2}(P_{j_1}). \tag{7.27}$$

*Proof.* The proof of (7.27) is directly done by (7.26). □

Now, consider how our radial operator  $l_p = c_p + a_p$  acts on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . First, observe that if  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  are the creation, respectively, the annihilation on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , then

$$c_p a_p(P_j) = c_p(a_p(P_j)) = c_p(P_{j-1}) = P_j, \tag{7.28}$$

and

$$a_p c_p(P_j) = a_p(c_p(P_j)) = a_p(P_{j+1}) = P_j,$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $c_p, a_p$  be the creation, respectively, the annihilation on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Then*

$$c_p a_p = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = a_p c_p, \tag{7.29}$$

where  $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$  is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

*Proof.* Since the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j)$  (by (7.19)), the formula (7.29) holds by (7.28), under the linearity of  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\square$

The formula (7.29) shows that the Banach-space operators  $c_p$  and  $a_p$  are commutative on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Therefore, one can get that

$$l_p^n = (c_p + a_p)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c_p^k a_p^{n-k}, \tag{7.30}$$

with identities:

$$c_p^0 = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = a_p^0,$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Consider now the formulas (7.29) and (7.30) together. Assume first that  $n = 2m - 1$  is odd, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$l_p^n = l_p^{2m-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k},$$

by (7.30). Thus, we can realize that  $l_p^{2m-1}$  has vanishing  $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ -terms by (7.29), for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . i.e.,

$$l_p^{2m-1} \text{ does not contain } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-terms, for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.31}$$

Now, suppose that  $n = 2m$  is even, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$l_p^{2m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k}$$

by (7.30)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( \binom{2m}{m} c_p^m a_p^m \right) + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \\ &= \binom{2m}{m} (1_{\mathfrak{S}_p})^m + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \end{aligned}$$

by (7.29)

$$= \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} + [\text{non-}1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-terms}],$$

i.e.,

$$l_p^{2m} \text{ contains the term } \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}, \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.32}$$

**Proposition 7.10.** *Let  $l_p \in \mathfrak{L}_p$  be the radial operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Then*

$$l_p^{2m-1} \text{ does not contain a } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-term, and} \tag{7.33}$$

$$l_p^{2m} \text{ contains its } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-term, } \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}, \tag{7.34}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of (7.33) and (7.34) are done by (7.31), respectively (7.32), with help of (7.29) and (7.30). □

Now, we have all ingredients to construct weighted-semicircular elements in  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

### 7.3. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS $Q_j$ INDUCED BY $\mathbb{H}(G_p)$

Let  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  be the tensor product Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p$  in the sense of (7.23), and let  $E_p : \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_p$  be the linear transformation (7.24). Throughout this section, Fix an element

$$Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.35}$$

for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Observe that

$$Q_j^n = (l_p \otimes P_j)^n = (l_p^n \otimes P_j^n) = (l_p^n \otimes P_j), \tag{7.36}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because  $P_j$  are projections in  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Consider that, if  $Q_j \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  is in the sense of (7.35), for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$E_p(Q_j^n) = E_p(l_p^n \otimes P_j) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} l_p^n(P_j) \tag{7.37}$$

in  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ , by (7.36), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, for each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define a linear functional  $\tau_{p;j}^0$  on  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  by

$$\tau_{p;j}^0 = \tau_j^p \circ E_p \text{ on } \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.38}$$

where  $\tau_j^p$  is in the sense of (7.5) on  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

By the linearity of both  $\tau_j^p$  and  $E_p$ , the morphism  $\tau_{p;j}^0$  of (7.38) is a linear functional on  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ . i.e.,  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$  forms a *Banach  $*$ -probability space* in the sense of [26] and [31].

By (7.37) and (7.38), one has that: if  $Q_j$  is in the sense of (7.35), then

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^n) = \tau_j^p(E_p(Q_j^n)) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \tau_j^p(l_p^n(P_j)), \tag{7.39}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 7.11.** *Let  $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $Q_j$  is  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^0)$ . Moreover,*

$$\tau_j^0(Q_j^n) = \begin{cases} (p^{j+1})^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{7.40}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the corresponding Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , and let  $Q_j$  be a generating operator  $l_p \otimes P_j$  of  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Then it is trivial that  $Q_j$  is self-adjoint in  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Indeed, one has

$$Q_j^* = (l_p \otimes P_j)^* = (l_p^* \otimes P_j^*) = (l_p \otimes P_j) = Q_j.$$

Observe now that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{(2m-1)+1}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p(l_p^{2m-1}(P_j))$$

by (7.39)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}\right)(P_j)\right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} (c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}(P_j))\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Remark that the embedded parts

$$c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}(P_j) = P_{j-2m+1+2k}$$

of the summands in (7.41) cannot be  $P_j$ -terms by (7.33), for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1$ . Therefore, the formula (7.41) vanishes, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, consider that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{\lfloor \frac{2m}{2} \rfloor + 1} \tau_j^p(j_p^{2m}(P_j))$$

by (7.39)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \right) (P_j) \right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left( \binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0,1,\dots,2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} (P_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

by (7.34)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left( \binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j + [\text{non-}P_j\text{-terms}] \right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left( \binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j \right) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} \tau_j^p(P_j) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} \left( \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} (p^{j+1})^{2m} \\ &= c_m (p^{j+1})^{2m} = ((p^{j+1})^2)^m c_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_m$  means the  $m$ -th Catalan number, i.e.,

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m (p^{j+1})^{2m} = c_m \left( p^{2(j+1)} \right)^m, \tag{7.42}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So, by the free-moment computations (7.41) and (7.42), the self-adjoint free random variable  $Q_j$  of our Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$  is a weighted-semicircular element with its weight  $p^{2(j+1)}$ . i.e., there exists

$$(p^{j+1})^2 = p^{2(j+1)} \in \mathbb{C},$$

such that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m \left( p^{2(j+1)} \right)^m,$$

and

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = 0,$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore, the operator  $Q_j$  is  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , by (7.11) and (7.13). □

One can construct the system

$$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}_p = \{(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{7.43}$$

of Banach  $*$ -probability spaces. Then, every Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$  in the family  $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}_p$  of (7.43) has its  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular element  $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . i.e., we have family

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}_p = \{Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{7.44}$$

of weighted-semicircular elements in the family  $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}_p$  of (7.43).

So, if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then one obtains a corresponding  $p^{2(k+1)}$ -semicircular element  $Q_k \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}_p$  in a Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:k}^0) \in \mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}_p$ , for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , satisfying

$$\tau_{p:k}^0(Q_k^n) = \begin{cases} c_{\frac{n}{2}}(p^{2(j+1)})^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

equivalently,

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $k_n^{p,j,0}(\dots)$  is the free cumulant with respect to the linear functional  $\tau_{p:j}^0$  on  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  (in the sense of [26]).

The following theorem re-prove an equivalent free-distributional data of (7.40), in terms of free cumulant. In fact, the following theorem must hold true by (7.40), and by the Möbius inversion of [26]. However, we provide an independent proof of the theorem below.

**Theorem 7.12.** *Let  $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$  be given as in (7.35), for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.45}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore,  $Q_j$  is a  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q_j$  be a self-adjoint free random variable (7.35) of the Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$ , for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m(p^{j+1})^{2m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = 0, \tag{7.46}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (7.40).

By the Möbius inversion of [26], one has

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \tag{7.47}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose now that  $n$  in (7.47) is odd. Then every partition  $\pi$  in the lattice  $NC(n)$  consisting of all noncrossing partitions over  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  contains at least one odd block  $V_0$  in  $\pi$ , i.e., there always exists at least one block  $V_0$  of  $\pi$  has odd-many elements. Then

$$\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V_0|}) = 0,$$

and hence, for a partition  $\pi$ ,

$$\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) = \left(\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V_0|})\right) \left(\prod_{V \in \pi, V \neq V_0} \tau_j^0(Q_j^{|V|})\right) = 0,$$

whenever  $n$  is odd in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\pi$  is arbitrary in  $NC(n)$ , the formula (7.47) vanishes, whenever  $n$  is odd, i.e.,

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = 0, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \tag{7.48}$$

Consider now that, if  $n = 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) &= \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^2) - \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j) \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j) \\ &= \tau_{p:j}^0(l_p^2 \otimes P_j) - \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j)^2 = \tau_j^0(l_p^2 \otimes P_j) \\ &= \tau_j^p(E_p(l_p^2 \otimes P_j)) = (p^{j+1})^{2+1} \tau_j^p(P_j) \\ &= (p^{j+1})^2 = p^{2(j+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

by (7.47), i.e., one obtains that

$$k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) = p^{2(j+1)}. \tag{7.49}$$

Let  $m > 1$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2m}^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|})\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} (c_{\lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \rfloor} (p^{j+1})^{|V|})\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\left(\prod_{V \in \pi} c_{\lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \rfloor}\right) (p^{j+1})^{2m}\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= (p^{j+1})^{2m} \left(\sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} c_{\lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \rfloor}\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.50}$$

by (7.47) and (7.49), where

$$NC_e(2m) = \{\theta \in NC(2m) : B \in \theta \iff |B| \text{ is even}\}.$$

By the semicircularity (7.9) and (7.10), we know that

$$\sum_{\pi \in NC_c(2m)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} c_{\frac{|V|}{2}} \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) = 0, \tag{7.51}$$

whenever  $m > 1$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Thus, the formula (7.50) vanishes whenever  $m > 1$ .

Thus, we have

$$\text{if } m > 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } k_{2m}^{j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = 0, \tag{7.52}$$

by (7.50) and (7.51).

Therefore, by (7.48), (7.49) and (7.52), we obtain

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It guarantees that the element  $Q_j$  is  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^0)$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by (7.11) and (7.13). □

#### 7.4. SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS INDUCED BY $\mathcal{M}_p$

In this section, we consider semicircular elements in the Banach  $*$ -probability spaces  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We will use the same notations used in Section 7.3. As we have seen in (7.40) and (7.45), the generating operators

$$Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \text{ of } \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$$

are  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in the Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Throughout this section, let's fix  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the corresponding Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ . Define now an operator  $\Theta_j$  of  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$  by a free random variable,

$$\Theta_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} Q_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0). \tag{7.53}$$

Since  $p^{j+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the quantity  $\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , too, in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and hence, the operator  $\Theta_j$  is self-adjoint in  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , by the self-adjointness of  $Q_j$ .

Observe now that, if  $\Theta_j$  is a self-adjoint operator (7.53) in  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{\Theta_j, \Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j}_{n\text{-times}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p;j}^0(\Theta_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \left( \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^{|V|} \tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \tag{7.54} \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n \left( \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left( \prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Remark that the above formula (7.54) can be directly obtained by the *bimodule-map property of free cumulants*. i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_n^{p,j,0}(X_j, \dots, X_j) &= k_n^{p,j,0}\left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j, \dots, \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (e.g., see [26]).

**Lemma 7.13.** *Let  $\Theta_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}(l_p \otimes P_j)$  be in the sense of (7.53) in our Banach  $*$ -probability space  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j), \tag{7.55}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the free-cumulant formula (7.55) is done by (7.54). □

The above free-cumulant formula (7.55) shows that the free-distributional data of  $\Theta_j$  are determined by those of  $Q_j$ .

**Theorem 7.14.** *Let  $\Theta_j$  be in the sense of (7.53) in  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then it is semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j)$$

by (7.55)

$$= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^2 k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n \cdot 0 = 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the  $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircularity (7.45), or (7.40) of  $Q_j$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
So, we obtain that

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^2 p^{2(j+1)} = 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7.56)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore, by (7.8) and (7.56), the self-adjoint operators  $\Theta_j$  are semicircular in  $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{G}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Multiresolution wavelet analysis of integer scale Bessel functions*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 7, 073516, 24.
- [2] S. Albeverio, P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *On fractional Brownian motion and wavelets*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **6** (2012) 1, 33–63.
- [3] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Spectral theory for Gaussian processes: reproducing kernels, boundaries, and  $L_2$ -wavelet generators with fractional scales*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **36** (2015) 10, 1239–1285.
- [4] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, D. Kimsey, *Moment problems in an infinite number of variables*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. Prob. **18** (2015) 4, 1550024.
- [5] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, D. Levanony, *On the equivalence of probability spaces*, J. Theo. Prob. (2016), to appear.
- [6] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, G. Salomon, *On free stochastic processes and their derivatives*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **124** (2014) 10, 3392–3411.
- [7] I. Cho, *Free distributional data of arithmetic functions and corresponding generating functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **8** (2014) 2, 537–570.
- [8] I. Cho, *Dynamical systems on arithmetic functions determined by primes*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **9** (2015) 1, 173–215.
- [9] I. Cho, *Free product  $C^*$ -algebras induced by  $*$ -algebras over  $p$ -adic number fields* (2016), submitted.
- [10] I. Cho, T. Gillespie, *Free probability on the Hecke algebra*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **9** (2015), 1491–1531.

- [11] I. Cho, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Krein-Space Operators Induced by Dirichlet Characters*, Special Issues: Contemp. Math.: Commutative and Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis and Applications, Amer. Math. Soc. (2014), 3–33.
- [12] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [13] A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III-factors, and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (New Series) **1** (1995) 3, 411–457.
- [14] A. Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeroes of the Riemann zeta functions*, arXiv:math/9811068 [math.NT] (1998).
- [15] T. Gillespie, *Superposition of zeroes of automorphic L-functions and functoriality*, University of Iowa, PhD Thesis (2010).
- [16] T. Gillespie, *Prime number theorems for Rankin-Selberg L-functions over number fields*, Sci. China Math. **54** (2011) 1, 35–46.
- [17] P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Operators and Representation Theory: Canonical Models for Algebras of Operators Arising in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, 2008.
- [18] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Wavelets in mathematical physics: q-oscillators*, J. Phys. A. **36** (2003) 23, 6483–6494.
- [19] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *States on the Cuntz algebras and p-adic random walks*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **90** (2011) 2, 197–211.
- [20] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *q-frames and Bessel functions*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **33** (2012) 7–9, 1063–1069.
- [21] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Markov measures and extended zeta functions*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **38** (2012) 1–2, 305–323.
- [22] F. Radulescu, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the C\*-algebra of a free group of nonsingular index*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 347–389.
- [23] F. Radulescu, *Conditional expectations, traces, angles between spaces and representations of the Hecke algebras*, Lib. Math. **33** (2013) 2, 65–95.
- [24] F. Radulescu, *Free group factors and Hecke operators, notes taken by N. Ozawa*, Proceedings of the 24th Conference in Operator Theory, Theta Advanced Series in Math., Theta Foundation, 2014.
- [25] R. Speicher, *Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 611–628.
- [26] R. Speicher, *Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Mem. **132** (1998) 627.
- [27] R. Speicher, *A conceptual proof of a basic result in the combinatorial approach to freeness*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **3** (2000), 213–222.
- [28] R. Speicher, P. Neu, *Physical Applications of Freeness, XII-th International Congress of Math. Phy. (ICMP '97)*, International Press, 1999, 261–266.
- [29] V.S. Vladimirov, *p-adic quantum mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **123** (1989) 4, 659–676.

- [30] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Ser. Soviet & East European Math., vol. 1, World Scientific, 1994.
- [31] D. Voiculescu, *Free probability and the von Neumann algebras of free groups*, Rep. Math. Phys. **55** (2005) 1, 127–133.
- [32] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries arising from free probability theory*, Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry (2006), 231–243.
- [33] D. Voiculescu, *Aspects of free analysis*, Jpn. J. Math. **3** (2008) 2, 163–183.
- [34] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykemma, A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, 1992.

Ilwoo Cho  
choilwoo@sau.edu

St. Ambrose University  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
421 Ambrose Hall, 518 W. Locust St.  
Davenport, Iowa, 52803, USA

Palle E.T. Jorgensen  
palle-jorgensen@uiowa.edu

The University of Iowa  
Department of Mathematics  
14 MacLean Hall  
Iowa City, IA 52242-1419, USA

*Received: October 10, 2016.*

*Accepted: December 4, 2016.*