

GROUND STATES OF COUPLED CRITICAL CHOQUARD EQUATIONS WITH WEIGHTED POTENTIALS

Gaili Zhu, Chunping Duan, Jianjun Zhang, and Huixing Zhang

Communicated by Binlin Zhang

Abstract. In this paper, we are concerned with the following coupled Choquard type system with weighted potentials

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_1(x)u = \mu_1(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u, \\ -\Delta v + V_2(x)v = \mu_2(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \beta > 0$ and $V_1(x), V_2(x)$ are nonnegative functions. Via the variational approach, one positive ground state solution of this system is obtained under some certain assumptions on $V_1(x), V_2(x)$ and $Q(x)$. Moreover, by using Hardy's inequality and one Pohožăev identity, a non-existence result of non-trivial solutions is also considered.

Keywords: ground states, Choquard equations, Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality, lower critical exponent.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B25, 35B33, 35J61.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

1.1. BACKGROUND

In this paper, we consider the following coupled Choquard type elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_1(x)u = \mu_1(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u \\ \quad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u, \\ -\Delta v + V_2(x)v = \mu_2(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v \\ \quad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \beta > 0$, $V_1(x), V_2(x), Q(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ is the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev lower critical exponent. Here I_α is the Riesz potential given for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ by $I_\alpha(x) := \frac{A_\alpha}{|x|^{N-\alpha}}$, where

$$A_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}2^\alpha},$$

and $\alpha \in (0, N)$, Γ is the Euler gamma function.

In the literature, there have been a lot of results on the nonlinear Choquard equations as follows

$$-\Delta u + Vu = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.2}$$

When $N = 3$, $\alpha = 2$ and $p = 2$, equation (1.2) is called the Choquard–Pekar equation, which goes back to the work by Pekar on quantum theory of a Polaron at rest [1] and to 1976’s model of Choquard of electron trapped in its own hole, in an approximation to Hartree–Fock theory of one-component plasma [9]. In 2013, one optimal range of parameters was given by V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen [14] to establish the existence of positive ground state solutions to (1.2) with $V = 1$. Thanks to a Pohožev identity, they showed that (1.2) with $V = 1$ admits a nontrivial solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N + \alpha}{N - 2}.$$

The endpoints $2_{\alpha,*} := \frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ and $2_\alpha^* := \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ are sometimes called lower and upper Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponents respectively in the sense of the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality. Later, in 2015, V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen [12] considered the Choquard equation (1.2) with a purely lower critical nonlinearity and established a sufficient condition on the existence of ground state solutions. Subsequently, some open questions raised in [12] are given a partial answer by D. Cassani, J. Van Schafting and J. Zhang in [3], where the authors investigated the existence and nonexistence of ground states to (1.2) for $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N}$. Very recently, S. Zhou, Z. Liu and J. Zhang [28] studied a class of Choquard type equations with weighted potentials and Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev lower critical exponent as follows

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = (I_\alpha * Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}})Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By using variational approaches, they investigated the existence of ground state solutions under the asymptotic behaviour of weighted potentials at infinity. Moreover, a non-existence result of nontrivial solutions is also obtained.

Meanwhile, there are also some results concerning the following coupled Choquard systems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda_1 u = \mu_1(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u + \beta(I_\alpha * |v|^p)|v|^{p-2}u, \\ -\Delta v + \lambda_2 v = \mu_2(I_\alpha * |v|^p)|v|^{p-2}v + \beta(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}v, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

In 2017, J. Wang and J. Shi [17] considered system (1.3) for $N = 3, p = 2$. By using the moving plane method, the authors show the symmetry of positive solutions to problem (1.3) when $\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Moreover, the existence and non-existence of positive ground state solutions are also investigated. In 2017, J. Wang *et al.* [19] considered system (1.3) with perturbations and subcritical exponents, and via the Nehari constraint and minimax methods, obtained the multiplicity of non-trivial solutions provided the perturbation is small enough. In 2018, J. Wang and W. Yang [18] considered the existence and nonexistence of the normalized solutions of a system similar to (1.3) with $p = 2, \alpha = N - 2$. Under certain type trapping potentials, they give a precise description on the concentration behavior of minimizer solutions. Furthermore, they also obtained an optimal blowing up rate of the minimizer solutions. In 2019, S. You *et al.* [25, 26] derived the existence of a positive ground state of (1.3) with the upper critical exponents in a bounded smooth domain. Moreover, the limit behavior of positive ground state solutions also are considered as $\beta \rightarrow 0$ or $\beta \rightarrow -\infty$. In 2020, the following coupled Hartree system was considered in a smooth bounded domain by Y. Zheng *et al.* [27] in the fractional setting

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + \lambda_1 u = \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(z)|^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-z|^\mu} dz |u|^{2^*_\mu-2} u + \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v(z)|^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-z|^\mu} dz |u|^{2^*_\mu-2} u, & x \in \Omega, \\ (-\Delta)^s v + \lambda_2 v = \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v(z)|^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-z|^\mu} dz |v|^{2^*_\mu-2} v + \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(z)|^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-z|^\mu} dz |v|^{2^*_\mu-2} v, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $(-\Delta)^s$ stands for the fractional Laplacian operator of order $0 < s < 1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0, \beta \neq 0, 4s < \mu < N, 2^*_\mu = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$ is the fractional upper critical exponent. By applying the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, the existence of ground state solutions was obtained with some various assumptions on $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and β . Very recently, F. Gao *et al.* [7] studied the coupled Hartree system with the upper critical exponent

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_1(x)u = \alpha_1(|x|^{-4} * u^2)u + \beta(|x|^{-4} * v^2)u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + V_2(x)v = \alpha_2(|x|^{-4} * v^2)v + \beta(|x|^{-4} * u^2)v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 5, \beta > \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \geq \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} > 0$, and $V_1, V_2 \in L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ nonnegative. By establishing a nonlocal version of the global compactness lemma, the authors proved the existence of a high energy positive solutions when $\|V_1\|_{L^{N/2}}$ and $\|V_2\|_{L^{N/2}}$ are suitably small. Moreover, thanks to moving sphere arguments in the integral form, the classification and uniqueness of positive solutions are also given. For further related results, we would like to refer to [4, 24] for the lower critical case, [5, 6, 8, 16] for the upper critical case, [23] for coupled Choquard systems and other related results [11, 22].

1.2. MOTIVATION

In the present paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive ground state solutions of Choquard type systems with lower critical exponents. In [20], H. Wu dealt with the coupled Choquard type system (1.1) with $Q \equiv 1$ and obtained the existence of at least one positive ground state under the following assumptions on potentials:

$$(H_1) \quad V_i(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad V_i(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(H_2) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_i(x) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(H_3) \quad \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 - V_i(x))|x|^2 \geq \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Inspired by [28], we aim to investigate the coupled Choquard type system (1.1) with $Q(x) \not\equiv \text{const}$. In the following, we perform the variational method to study the existence and nonexistence of ground state solutions to (1.1). The associated functional $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_1(x)u^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + V_2(x)v^2) dx \\ & - \frac{N}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu_1 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu_2 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the presence of the lower critical exponent $p = \frac{N+\alpha}{N}$, the problem lacks compactness. Similarly to Sobolev critical problems, one Brezis–Nirenberg argument can be adopted to recover compactness. Actually, by imposing some suitable condition on V_i 's and Q , we can get the existence of a positive ground state solution. For this purpose, we assume that:

$$(C_1) \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V_i(x) > 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_i(x) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(C_2) \quad \text{there exists } \mu \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (1 - V_i(x))|x|^2 = \mu, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(Q_1) \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) \geq 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = 1,$$

$$(Q_2) \quad \text{there exist } \delta \geq 0 \text{ and } \nu_\delta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (Q(x) - 1)|x|^\delta = \nu_\delta.$$

1.3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (C_1) , (C_2) , (Q_1) , (Q_2) hold, then system (1.1) admits a positive ground state solution for all $\beta > 0$, provided one of the following conditions holds:*

$$(1) \quad \delta = 0, \mu > \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}, \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) \geq 1,$$

$$(2) \quad 0 < \delta < 2, \nu_\delta > 0,$$

$$(3) \quad 2 < \delta < N, \mu > \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $V_i, i = 1, 2, Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$(C_3) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 \langle x, \nabla V_i(x) \rangle < \frac{(N-2)^2}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(Q_3) \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) \geq 0, \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle \geq 0,$$

then system (1.1) admits only a trivial solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

As a special case, for the external Schrödinger potential $V_{\mu,\nu} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$V_{\mu,\nu}(x) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{\nu^2 + |x|^2}, \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \nu > 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the weighted potential $Q_\delta : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Q_\delta(x) = 1 + \frac{\nu_\delta}{1 + |x|^\delta}, \quad \text{for } \nu_\delta \in \mathbb{R}, \delta \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

system (1.1) reduces to the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_{\mu,\nu}(x)u = \mu_1(I_\alpha * [Q_\delta(x)|u|^p])Q_\delta(x)|u|^{p-2}u \\ \quad \quad \quad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q_\delta(x)|v|^p])Q_\delta(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \\ -\Delta v + V_{\mu,\nu}(x)v = \mu_2(I_\alpha * [Q_\delta(x)|v|^p])Q_\delta(x)|v|^{p-2}v \\ \quad \quad \quad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q_\delta(x)|u|^p])Q_\delta(x)|v|^{p-2}v, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Denote by μ^ν the best constant of the embedding

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, (\nu^2 + |x|^2)^{-1} dx),$$

that is,

$$\mu^\nu := \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\nu^2 + |x|^2} dx}.$$

Corollary 1.3. *System (1.4) admits a positive ground state solution, provided one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $\delta = 0, \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)} < \mu < \mu^\nu, \nu_\delta = 0,$
- (2) $0 < \delta < 2, \mu < \mu^\nu, \nu_\delta > 0,$
- (3) $2 < \delta < N, \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)} < \mu < \mu^\nu, \nu_\delta \geq -1,$

and has non-trivial solutions if $\mu < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ and $-1 \leq \nu_\delta \leq 0$.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1–1.2

Before proving Theorems 1.1–1.2, we introduce some preliminaries. First, the following Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality will be frequently used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1 (Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality [10]). *Let $s, r > 1, 0 < \alpha < N$ with $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{N}, f \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{C}(s, N, \alpha)$ (independent of f, g) such that*

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} f(x)|x - y|^{\alpha-N} g(y) dx dy \right| \leq \mathcal{C}(s, N, \alpha) \|f\|_s \|g\|_r.$$

In particular, if $s = r = \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}$, the sharp constant is given by

$$C_\alpha := \pi^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{2})} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(N)} \right]^{\frac{-\alpha}{N}}.$$

Due to the presence of the lower critical exponent $\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$, the compactness fails in general. To recover the compactness, the following Brezis–Lieb type lemma plays a crucial role in the decomposition of the maximization sequence for C_* given below.

For any $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let

$$G_\infty(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\mu_1(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2(I_\alpha * |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + 2\beta(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] dx$$

and

$$T_\infty(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^2 + |v|^2) dx$$

and for any $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$G(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu_1(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + 2\beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) dx,$$

$$T(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + V_1(x)|u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + V_2(x)|v|^2] dx.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Brezis–Lieb type Lemma). *Assume that (C_1) and (Q_1) hold and let $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ be bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for some $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, up to a subsequence, there holds that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [G(u_n, v_n) - G(u, v) - G_\infty(u_n - u, v_n - v)] = 0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly as in [14, 28], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}})|u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}})|v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

So, to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [G(u_n, v_n) - G(u, v) - G_\infty(u_n - u, v_n - v)] = 0,$$

it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}})|v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

From the Brezis–Lieb lemma [21], we know that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} |u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \rightarrow |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} & \text{in } L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ |v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \rightarrow |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} & \text{in } L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Then according to Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| I_\alpha * \left(|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) \right\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}} \\ & \leq C \left\| |u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}} = o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = 1$, we get that

$$\left\| I_\alpha * \left[Q(x) \left(|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}} = o_n(1).$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} I_\alpha * \left[Q(x) \left(|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) \right] \rightarrow I_\alpha * \left[Q(x) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] & \text{in } L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ I_\alpha * \left[Q(x) \left(|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) \right] \rightarrow I_\alpha * \left[Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] & \text{in } L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x) |v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x) |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) \left(|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right)] \right) Q(x) \left(|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) \, dx, \\ J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) \left(|v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right)] \right) Q(x) |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx, \\ J_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) \left(|u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} - |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right)] \right) Q(x) |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx. \end{cases}$$

Firstly, it follows from (3.1) that

$$J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1).$$

Since v_n is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, without loss of generality, we assume that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Due to the fact that $|u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $|u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thanks to (3.2),

$$J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x) |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1) = o_n(1).$$

Similarly, $J_3 = o_n(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x) |v_n|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x) |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x) |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \, dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in [28], we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x)|v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * |u_n - u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) |v_n - v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Set

$$C_\infty := \sup \{ G_\infty(u, v) : T_\infty(u, v) = 1, u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \}$$

and

$$C_* := \sup \{ G(u, v) : T(u, v) = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$0 < C_*, C_\infty < \infty.$$

Lemma 2.3 (Compactness). *If $C_* > C_\infty$, then C_* can be achieved.*

Proof. For any maximization sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of C_* , i.e., as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $G(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow C_*$ with $T(u_n, v_n) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that u_n, v_n are non-negative for all n and for some $u_0, v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \geq 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a. e. in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, set

$$\omega_n = u_n - u_0, \quad z_n = v_n - v_0,$$

then thanks to Lemma 2.2,

$$C_* = G(u_0, v_0) + G_\infty(\omega_n, z_n) + o_n(1), \tag{3.3}$$

where $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. we have

$$1 = T(u_0, v_0) + T(\omega_n, z_n) + o_n(1). \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of C_* and C_∞ , it easy to know that

$$G(u, v) \leq C_* (T(u, v))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}, \quad \text{for any } u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$G_\infty(u, v) \leq C_\infty (T_\infty(u, v))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}, \quad \text{for any } u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Obviously, $T(u_0, v_0) \in [0, 1]$. Then by (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} C_* &\leq C_* (T(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + C_\infty (T_\infty(\omega_n, z_n))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + o_n(1) \\ &\leq C_* (T(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + C_\infty (T(\omega_n, z_n))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + o_n(1) \\ &= C_* (T(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + C_\infty (1 - T(u_0, v_0))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + o_n(1) \\ &\leq C_* T(u_0, v_0) + C_\infty (1 - T(u_0, v_0)) + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\frac{N+\alpha}{N} > 1$. It follows that

$$C_* \leq C_* T(u_0, v_0) + C_\infty(1 - T(u_0, v_0))$$

and then $C_* \leq C_\infty$ if $T(u_0, v_0) < 1$. So $T(u_0, v_0) = 1$ and $G(u_0, v_0) = C_*$. The proof is complete. \square

In the following, we give a lower bound estimate for C_* . For any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, set

$$\overline{G}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] \right) Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} dx,$$

$$\overline{G}_\infty(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} dx,$$

and

$$c_\infty = \sup \left\{ \overline{G}_\infty(u) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let

$$\overline{T}_i(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_i(x)u^2) dx, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

It can be seen in [12] that c_∞ is achieved by $u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} U(\varepsilon x)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, with

$$\overline{G}_\infty(u_\varepsilon) = c_\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon^2 dx = 1,$$

where

$$U(x) = C\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} (\lambda^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}},$$

for some fixed constant $C > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as parameters. Let

$$v_\varepsilon = \frac{u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 + s_m^2}},$$

then it can be seen in [20] that $(s_m v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ is a maximizer of C_∞ , that is,

$$G_\infty(s_m v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) = C_\infty, \quad T_\infty(s_m v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) = 1,$$

where s_m is a minimum point of function $g(s) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(s) = \frac{1 + s^2}{\left(\mu_2 + \mu_1 s^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta s^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N+\alpha}}}.$$

It is easy to know

$$C_\infty = \frac{c_\infty}{[g(s_m)]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}}.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Energy estimate). *Assume (C_1) – (C_2) , (Q_1) – (Q_2) hold, then we have $C_* > C_\infty$ provided one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $\delta = 0, \mu > \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}, \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} Q(x) \geq 1,$
- (2) $0 < \delta < 2, \nu_\delta > 0,$
- (3) $2 < \delta < N, \mu > \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}.$

Proof. Observe that for any $\varepsilon > 0,$

$$(1 + s_m^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + s_m^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Let

$$m_\varepsilon^1 = \bar{T}_1(u_\varepsilon), \quad m_\varepsilon^2 = \bar{T}_2(u_\varepsilon), \quad m_\varepsilon := T(s_m v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon),$$

then

$$m_\varepsilon = \frac{s_m^2 m_\varepsilon^1 + m_\varepsilon^2}{1 + s_m^2}.$$

Observe that

$$m_\varepsilon^i = 1 + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{T}_\mu^i(\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu^i(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + (V_i(x) - 1)|u_\varepsilon|^2] dx.$$

Similarly as that in [28], we have

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu^i(\varepsilon) = a_\mu + o_\varepsilon(1), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $o_\varepsilon(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and

$$a_\mu := \left[\frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)} - \mu \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|U(x)|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

Obviously,

$$a_\mu = \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } \mu < \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}, \\ = 0, & \text{if } \mu = \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \mu > \frac{N^2(N-2)}{4(N+1)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, m_\varepsilon^i = 1 + a_\mu \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), i = 1, 2.$ It follows that

$$m_\varepsilon = 1 + a_\mu \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2).$$

Let $w_\varepsilon := \frac{v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m_\varepsilon}},$ then $T(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = 1$ and $G(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \leq C_*.$ In the following, we show that $G(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) > C_\infty$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. In fact,

$$G(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = \left(\mu_1 s_m^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta s_m^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 \right) \bar{G}(w_\varepsilon).$$

Let $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = \frac{u_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m_\varepsilon^1}}$, then $\bar{T}_1(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) = 1$ and it can be seen in [28] that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\bar{G}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \begin{cases} \geq c_\infty - \frac{N+\alpha}{N}c_\infty a_\mu \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } \delta = 0 \text{ or } \delta > 2, \\ = c_\infty + b_{\delta,\alpha} \nu_\delta \varepsilon^\delta + o(\varepsilon^\delta), & \text{if } 0 < \delta < 2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_{\delta,\alpha} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |U|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}) [|x|^{-\delta} |U|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}] dx > 0.$$

Obviously,

$$\bar{G}(w_\varepsilon) = \frac{\bar{G}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)}{(1 + s_m^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} \left(\frac{m_\varepsilon^1}{m_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} = \frac{\bar{G}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)}{(1 + s_m^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} (1 + o(\varepsilon^2)),$$

and then

$$G(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = \frac{(1 + o(\varepsilon^2)) \bar{G}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)}{[g(s_m)]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}}.$$

Since

$$(1 + o(\varepsilon^2)) \bar{G}(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \begin{cases} \geq c_\infty - \frac{N+\alpha}{N}c_\infty a_\mu \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2), & \text{if } \delta = 0 \text{ or } \delta > 2, \\ = c_\infty + b_{\delta,\alpha} \nu_\delta \varepsilon^\delta + o(\varepsilon^\delta), & \text{if } 0 < \delta < 2, \end{cases}$$

one of the assumptions (1)–(3) implies that, for ε small,

$$G(s_m w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) > \frac{c_\infty}{[g(s_m)]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} = C_\infty.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $(C_1), (C_2), (Q_1), (Q_2)$ hold. If $\beta > 0$, then*

$$C_* > \max\{C_{*1}, C_{*2}\},$$

where

$$C_{*i} = \mu_i \sup\{\bar{G}(u) : \bar{T}_i(u) = 1\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. It has been shown in [28] that $C_{*i}, i = 1, 2$ can be achieved, i.e., there exist $u_*, v_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$C_{*1} = \mu_1 \bar{G}(u_*), \quad \bar{T}_1(u_*) = 1, \quad C_{*2} = \mu_2 \bar{G}(v_*), \quad \bar{T}_2(v_*) = 1.$$

For any fixed $t > 0$ large, we have

$$G(tu_*, u_*) = \left(\mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 \right) \bar{G}(u_*)$$

and

$$T(tu_*, u_*) = t^2 \bar{T}_1(u_*) + \bar{T}_2(u_*) = t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*).$$

Let $\bar{u}_* = \frac{u_*}{\sqrt{t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*)}}$, we have $T(t\bar{u}_*, \bar{u}_*) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_* &\geq G(t\bar{u}_*, \bar{u}_*) = \frac{\mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 \bar{G}(u_*)}{(t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} \\ &= \frac{\mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 C_{*1}}{\mu_1 (t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 = t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} \left[\mu_1 + 2\beta t^{-\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + o\left(t^{-\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}\right) \right]$$

and

$$\mu_1 (t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} = \mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} \left[1 + \frac{N+\alpha}{N} \bar{T}_2(u_*) t^{-2} + o(t^{-2}) \right].$$

Thanks to $\frac{N+\alpha}{N} < 2$, we know, for $t > 0$ large enough,

$$\frac{\mu_1 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2}{\mu_1 (t^2 + \bar{T}_2(u_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} > 1,$$

which implies that $C_* > C_{*1}$. Similarly, for any fixed $t > 0$ large, we consider the quantity $G(v_*, tv_*)$ and get that

$$\begin{aligned} C_* &\geq \frac{\mu_2 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_1 \bar{G}(v_*)}{(t^2 + \bar{T}_1(v_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} \bar{G}(v_*) \\ &= \frac{\mu_2 t^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}} + 2\beta t^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_1 C_{*2}}{\mu_2 (t^2 + \bar{T}_1(v_*))^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} C_{*2} > C_{*2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3 and 2.4, there exist $u_*, v_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $G(u_*, v_*) = C_*$ and $T(u_*, v_*) = 1$. Since $G(|u_*|, |v_*|) = C_*$ and $T(|u_*|, |v_*|) = 1$, without loss of generality, we assume that u_* and v_* are non-negative. By the Lagrange multiplier theorem, there holds that for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$G'(u_*, v_*) = \kappa T'(u_*, v_*) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where

$$G'(u_*, v_*) = (\nabla_u G(u_*, v_*), \nabla_v G(u_*, v_*))$$

and

$$T'(u_*, v_*) = (\nabla_u T(u_*, v_*), \nabla_v T(u_*, v_*)).$$

That is, in the weak sense, (u_*, v_*) satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{N\kappa}{N+\alpha}(-\Delta u + V_1(x)u) = \mu_1(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}u, \\ \frac{N\kappa}{N+\alpha}(-\Delta v + V_2(x)v) = \mu_2(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad + \beta(I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}])Q(x)|v|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}-1}v, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{array} \right.$$

Obviously, $\kappa = \frac{N+\alpha}{N}C_* > 0$. By virtue of the maximum principle, u_*, v_* are positive. To remove the multiplier, let

$$u_\theta = \theta u_*(x), \quad v_\theta = \theta v_*(x), \quad \theta = C_*^{-\frac{N}{2\alpha}},$$

then (u_θ, v_θ) is a weak solution of problem (1.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_\theta, v_\theta) &= \frac{1}{2}T(u_\theta, v_\theta) - \frac{N}{2(N+\alpha)}G(u_\theta, v_\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\theta^2T(u_*, v_*) - \frac{N}{2(N+\alpha)}\theta^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}}G(u_*, v_*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - \frac{N}{2(N+\alpha)}\theta^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N}}C_* \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}C_*^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we show that (u_θ, v_θ) is a ground state solution of problem (1.1). In fact, for any nontrivial solution (u, v) of problem (1.1), $T(u, v) = G(u, v) > 0$ and

$$I(u, v) = \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}G(u, v).$$

Let

$$u_\tau(x) = \frac{u(x)}{\sqrt{T(u, v)}}, \quad v_\tau(x) = \frac{v(x)}{\sqrt{T(u, v)}},$$

then $T(u_\tau, v_\tau) = 1$ and

$$C_* \geq G(u_\tau, v_\tau) = G(u, v)[T(u, v)]^{-\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}.$$

It follows that

$$G(u, v) \leq C_*[T(u, v)]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} = C_*[G(u, v)]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}},$$

and then

$$I(u, v) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)}C_*^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.5, we know $u_*, v_* \neq 0$. The proof is complete. □

In the following, we give a Pohožăev identity, which helps us to show a non-existence result of nontrivial solutions. We also refer to [2] for the applications of Pohožăev type identities on the attainability of some embedding inequalities.

Proposition 2.6 (Pohožăev Identity). *If $(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of system (1.1), then the following Pohožăev identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [NV_1(x) + \langle x, \nabla V_1(x) \rangle] u^2 dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [NV_2(x) + \langle x, \nabla V_2(x) \rangle] v^2 dx \\ & = \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\mu_1 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] dx \\ & + \frac{N}{N+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\mu_1 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad + \mu_2 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ & \quad + \beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ & \quad \left. + \beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [13] and [12]. We omit the details here. □

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.2. For any solution $(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of problem (1.1), using (u, v) as a test function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_1(x)|u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + V_2(x)|v|^2) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\mu_1 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + \mu_2 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-|\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} [\langle x, \nabla V_1(x) \rangle u^2 + \langle x, \nabla V_2(x) \rangle v^2] \right) dx \\ &= \frac{N}{N+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\mu_1 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right. \\ & \quad + \mu_2 (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ & \quad + \beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\ & \quad \left. + \beta (I_\alpha * [Q(x)|v|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}]) \langle x, \nabla Q(x) \rangle |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (C_3) , (Q_3) and Hardy's inequality, if u, v are nontrivial, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{|v(x)|^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx,$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments.

H.X. Zhang is partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2019XKQYMS91). J.J. Zhang is partially supported by Team Building Project for Graduate Tutors in Chongqing (No. JDDSTD201802) and Group Building Scientific Innovation Project for universities in Chongqing (No. CXQT21021).

REFERENCES

- [1] A.S. Alexandrov, J.T. Devreese, *Advances in Polaron Physics*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, vol. 159, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [2] D. Cassani, B. Ruf, C. Tarsi, *Group invariance and Pohozaev identity in Moser-type inequalities*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **15** (2013), 1250054.
- [3] D. Cassani, J. Van Schaftingen, J. Zhang, *Groundstates for Choquard type equations with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev lower critical exponent*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. **150** (2020), 1377–1400.
- [4] Y. Ding, F. Gao, M. Yang, *Semiclassical states for Choquard type equations with critical growth: critical frequency case*, Nonlinearity **33** (2020), 6695–6728.
- [5] F. Gao, M. Yang, *A strongly indefinite Choquard equation with critical exponent due to the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), 1750037.
- [6] F. Gao, M. Yang, *The Brezis–Nirenberg type critical problem for the nonlinear Choquard equation*, Sci. China Math. **61** (2018), 1219–1242.

- [7] F. Gao, H. Liu, V. Moroz, M. Yang, *High energy positive solutions for a coupled Hartree system with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponents*, J. Differential Equations **287** (2021), 329–375.
- [8] F. Gao, E.D. da Silva, M. Yang, J. Zhou, *Existence of solutions for critical Choquard equations via the concentration-compactness method*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. **150** (2020), 921–954.
- [9] E.H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Studies Appl. Math. **57** (1977), 93–105.
- [10] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001.
- [11] C. Mercuri, V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates and radial solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger–Poisson–Slater equations at the critical frequency*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), Article no. 146.
- [12] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **17** (2015), 1550005.
- [13] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 6557–6579.
- [14] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 153–184.
- [15] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), 773–813.
- [16] Z. Shen, F. Gao, M. Yang, *On critical Choquard equation with potential well*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. **38** (2018), 3567–3593.
- [17] J. Wang, J. Shi, *Standing waves for a coupled nonlinear Hartree equations with nonlocal interaction*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **56** (2017), Article no. 168.
- [18] J. Wang, W. Yang, *Normalized solutions and asymptotical behavior of minimizer for the coupled Hartree equations*, J. Differential Equations **265** (2018), 501–544.
- [19] J. Wang, Y. Dong, Q. He, L. Xiao, *Multiple positive solutions for a coupled nonlinear Hartree type equations with perturbations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **450** (2017), 780–794.
- [20] H. Wu, *Positive ground states for nonlinearly coupled Choquard type equations with lower critical exponents*, Boundary Value Problems (2021), Article no. 13.
- [21] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [22] M. Yang, *Semiclassical ground state solutions for a Choquard type equation in \mathbb{R}^2 with critical exponential growth*, ESAIM: Cont. Opt. Calc. Var. **24** (2018), 177–209.
- [23] M. Yang, Y. Wei, Y. Ding, *Existence of semiclassical states for a coupled Schrödinger system with potentials and nonlocal nonlinearities*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **65** (2014), 41–68.
- [24] M. Yang, F. Zhao, S. Zhao, *Classification of solutions to a nonlocal equation with double Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponents*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **41** (2021), 5209–5241.

- [25] S. You, Q. Wang, P. Zhao, *Positive least energy solutions for coupled nonlinear Choquard equations with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **53** (2019), 623–657.
- [26] S. You, P. Zhao, Q. Wang, *Positive ground states for coupled nonlinear Choquard equations involving Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **48** (2019), 182–211.
- [27] Y. Zheng, C.A. Santos, Z. Shen, M. Yang, *Least energy solutions for coupled Hartree system with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponents*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **19** (2020), 329–369.
- [28] S. Zhou, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, *Groundstates for Choquard type equations with weighted potentials and Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev lower critical exponent*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **11** (2022), 141–158.

Gaili Zhu
2682296462@qq.com

Chongqing Jiaotong University
College of Mathematica and Statistics
Chongqing 400074, China

Chunping Duan
2280111277@qq.com

Chongqing Jiaotong University
College of Mathematica and Statistics
Chongqing 400074, China

Jianjun Zhang (corresponding author)
zhangjianjun09@tsinghua.org.cn

Chongqing Jiaotong University
College of Mathematica and Statistics
Chongqing 400074, China

Huixing Zhang
huixingzhangcumt@163.com

China University of Mining and Technology
School of Mathematics
Xuzhou 221116, China

Received: November 15, 2021.

Revised: December 21, 2021.

Accepted: December 29, 2021.