

## DECOMPOSITION OF COMPLETE GRAPHS INTO SMALL GRAPHS

Dalibor Froncek

**Abstract.** In 1967, A. Rosa proved that if a bipartite graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges has an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then for any positive integer  $p$  the complete graph  $K_{2np+1}$  can be cyclically decomposed into copies of  $G$ . This has become a part of graph theory folklore since then. In this note we prove a generalization of this result. We show that every bipartite graph  $H$  which decomposes  $K_k$  and  $K_m$  also decomposes  $K_{km}$ .

**Keywords:** graph decomposition, graph labeling.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C78.

Let  $G$  be a graph with at most  $n$  vertices. We say that the complete graph  $K_n$  has a  $G$ -decomposition (or that it is  $G$ -decomposable) if there are subgraphs  $G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$  of  $K_n$ , all isomorphic to  $G$ , such that each edge of  $K_n$  belongs to exactly one  $G_i$ .

In 1967 A. Rosa [5] introduced some important types of vertex labelings. Graceful labeling (called  $\beta$ -valuation by AR) and rosy labeling (called  $\rho$ -valuation by AR) are useful tools for decompositions of complete graphs  $K_{2n+1}$  into graphs with  $n$  edges. A labeling of a graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges is an injection  $\rho$  from  $V(G)$ , the vertex set of  $G$ , into a subset  $S$  of the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  of elements of the additive group  $Z_{2n+1}$ . The length of an edge  $e = xy$  with endvertices  $x$  and  $y$  is defined as  $\ell(xy) = \min\{\rho(x) - \rho(y), \rho(y) - \rho(x)\}$ . Notice that the subtraction is performed in  $Z_{2n+1}$  and hence  $1 \leq \ell(e) \leq n$ . If the set of all lengths of the  $n$  edges is equal to  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\rho$  is a rosy labeling; if moreover  $S \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\rho$  is a graceful labeling. A graceful labeling  $\alpha$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -labeling if there exists a number  $\alpha_0$  with the property that for every edge  $e$  in  $G$  with endvertices  $x$  and  $y$  and with  $\alpha(x) < \alpha(y)$  it holds that  $\alpha(x) \leq \alpha_0 < \alpha(y)$ . Obviously,  $G$  must be bipartite to allow an  $\alpha$ -labeling. For an exhaustive survey of graph labelings, see [3] by J. Gallian.

A. Rosa observed that if a graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges has a graceful or rosy labeling, then  $K_{2n+1}$  can be cyclically decomposed into  $2n + 1$  copies of  $G$ . It is so because  $K_{2n+1}$  has exactly  $2n + 1$  edges of length  $i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and each copy of  $G$  contains exactly one edge of each length. The cyclic decomposition is constructed

by taking a labeled copy of  $G$ , say  $G_0$ , and then adding a non-zero element  $i \in Z_{2n+1}$  to the label of each vertex of  $G_0$  to obtain a copy  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ .

If  $G$  with  $n$  edges has an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then we can take  $p$  copies of  $G$ , say  $G_0, G_1, G_{p-1}$ , and label them such that  $G_0$  has the original labels induced by the  $\alpha$ -labeling, and for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$  the vertices with lower labels (that is, with  $\alpha(x) \leq \alpha_0$ ) will keep their labels, while the vertices with high labels will increase their labels by  $in$ . This way a copy  $G_i$  contains edges of lengths  $in + 1, in + 2, \dots, (i + 1)n$ . Therefore all  $p$  copies together contain  $np$  edges of lengths  $1, 2, \dots, np$ . It follows that the graph consisting of these  $p$  edge-disjoint copies of  $G$  decomposes cyclically the complete graph  $K_{2np+1}$  and consequently,  $G$  itself decomposes  $K_{2np+1}$ .

We summarize these classical Rosa's results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  edges. If  $G$  allows a rosy labeling, then it decomposes  $K_{2n+1}$ , if  $G$  allows an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then it decomposes  $K_{2np+1}$  for every  $p > 0$ .*

To guarantee a  $G$ -decomposition of  $K_{2np+1}$ , the condition of the existence of an  $\alpha$ -labeling can be relaxed. S. El-Zanati, C. Vanden Eynden, and N. Punnim [2] defined a  $\rho^+$ -labeling of a bipartite graph  $G$  with bipartition  $X, Y$  as a rosy labeling with the additional property that for every edge  $xy \in E(G)$  with  $x \in X, y \in Y$  it holds that  $\rho^+(x) < \rho^+(y)$ . Their theorem then follows by arguments similar to those for the  $\alpha$ -labeling.

**Theorem 2.** *If a bipartite graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges has a  $\rho^+$ -labeling, then there exists a cyclic  $G$ -decomposition of  $K_{2np+1}$  for any positive integer  $p$ .*

In [1] M. Buratti and A. Pasotti proved a result on difference matrices, which is in [4] restated as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *If a graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges and chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  cyclically decomposes  $K_k$  and  $K_m$ , where  $k \equiv m \equiv 1 \pmod{2n}$  and  $\chi(G)$  does not exceed the smallest prime factor of  $m$ , then there exists a cyclic  $G$ -decomposition of  $K_{km}$ .*

Because a bipartite graph has  $\chi(G) = 2$ , the following corollary is easy to prove. It was stated in [1] in a more general form related to Theorem 3.

**Corollary 4.** *If a bipartite graph  $G$  with  $n$  edges has a  $\rho$ -labeling, then there exists a cyclic  $G$ -decomposition of  $K_{(2n+1)^r}$  for any positive integer  $r$ .*

Our goal is to show that if we restrict ourselves to bipartite graphs while assuming the existence of any  $G$ -decomposition rather than a cyclic one, we can still get a result similar to Theorem 3.

First we prove a related useful result for decompositions of complete multipartite graphs. We recall that a *composition*  $G[H]$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  (also called a *lexicographic product*) is a graph that arises from  $G$  by replacing each vertex of  $G$  by a copy of  $H$  and each edge of  $G$  by  $K_{m,m}$ , where  $m$  is the order of  $H$ . In particular, if  $H = \overline{K}_m$ , the graph consisting of  $m$  isolated vertices, then we say that we *blow up*  $G$  into  $G[\overline{K}_m]$ .

**Observation 5.** If a bipartite graph  $G$  decomposes  $K_k$ , then  $G$  also decomposes the complete  $k$ -partite graph  $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$  for any  $m \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Because  $K_{m,m,\dots,m} = K_k[\overline{K}_m]$ , it is obvious that it can be decomposed into  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  by blowing up  $K_k$  and concurrently every copy of  $G$ . Moreover, since  $G$  is bipartite,  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  is also bipartite. Let  $X, Y$  be the partite sets of  $G$  and  $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$  the corresponding bipartition of  $G[\overline{K}_m]$ . We need to decompose  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  into  $m^2$  edge-disjoint copies of  $G$ .

We label the vertices of  $K_k$  by the elements of  $Z_k$  and the vertices of  $K_{m,m,\dots,m} = K_k[\overline{K}_m]$  by the elements  $(i, j)$  of the group  $Z_k \times Z_m$ .

Now we construct  $m^2$  copies of  $G$ , denoted by  $G_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . If  $xy$  is an edge in  $G$  with  $x \in X, y \in Y$  (we here identify vertices with their labels, so in fact  $x$  and  $y$  are the *labels* of these vertices), then in  $G_{ij}$  there will be the edge  $(x, i)(y, j)$ . Therefore, every  $G_{ij}$  contains an edge  $(x, i)(y, j)$  if and only if  $G$  contains the edge  $xy$ . On the other hand, for every complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,m}^{xy}$  in  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  corresponding to an edge  $xy \in G$  we have each of its  $m^2$  edges in precisely one of the graphs  $G_{ij}$ .

It should be clear that this way  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  is decomposed into  $m^2$  copies of  $G$ . Because at the same time  $G[\overline{K}_m]$  decomposes  $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ , it is obvious that  $G$  decomposes  $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ .  $\square$

Now it is easy to observe that the following is true.

**Theorem 6.** *If a bipartite graph  $G$  decomposes  $K_k$  and  $K_m$ , then  $G$  also decomposes the complete graph  $K_{km}$ .*

The following equivalent of Corollary 4 is now obvious.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph which decomposes  $K_s$ . Then  $G$  decomposes also  $K_{s^r}$  for any  $r \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the claim by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$  we get our assumption that  $G$  decomposes  $K_s$ . Now assume that  $G$  decomposes  $K_{s^{r-1}}$ . First decompose  $K_{s^r}$  into  $s$  copies of  $K_{s^{r-1}}$  and a complete  $s$ -partite graph  $B$  with partite sets of size  $s^{r-1}$ . By induction hypothesis, each  $K_{s^{r-1}}$  can be decomposed into  $G$ . The existence of a decomposition of the complete  $s$ -partite graph  $B$  follows from our assumption that  $G$  decomposes  $K_s$  and from Observation 5, where we set  $k = s$  and  $m = s^{r-1}$ . Therefore,  $G$  decomposes  $K_{s^r}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Buratti, A. Pasotti, *Graph decompositions with the use of difference matrices*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. **47** (2006), 23–32.
- [2] S. El-Zanati, C. Vanden Eynden, N. Punnim, *On the cyclic decomposition of complete graphs into bipartite graphs*, Australas. J. Combin. **24** (2001), 209–219.
- [3] J.A. Gallian, *A dynamic survey of graph labeling*, Electron. J. Combin. **DS6** (2009).

- [4] A. Pasotti, *Constructions for cyclic Moebius ladder systems*, manuscript.
- [5] A. Rosa, *On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph*, Theory of Graphs (Intl. Symp., Rome, 1966) Gordon and Breach, Dunod, Paris, 1967, 349–355.

Dalibor Froncek  
dalibor@d.umn.edu

University of Minnesota, Duluth  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
1117 University Dr., Duluth, MN 55812, U.S.A.

*Received: January 21, 2010.*

*Accepted: March 26, 2010.*