

**ON THE EXISTENCE  
OF POSITIVE CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS  
FOR SOME POLYHARMONIC ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS  
ON THE HALF SPACE**

Zagharide Zine El Abidine

**Abstract.** We study the existence of positive continuous solutions of the nonlinear polyharmonic system  $(-\Delta)^m u + \lambda qg(v) = 0, (-\Delta)^m v + \mu p f(u) = 0$  in the half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$ , where  $m \geq 1$  and  $n > 2m$ . The nonlinear term is required to satisfy some conditions related to the Kato class  $K_{m,n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Our arguments are based on potential theory tools associated to  $(-\Delta)^m$  and properties of functions belonging to  $K_{m,n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

**Keywords:** polyharmonic elliptic system, Green function, Kato class, positive continuous solution, Schauder fixed point theorem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34B27, 35J40.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$ , where  $n > 2m$ . An explicit expression for the Green function  $G_{m,n}$  of  $(-\Delta)^m$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^j u = 0, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1$  was given in [4] by

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = k_{m,n} |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_1^{\frac{|x-\bar{y}|}{|x-y|}} \frac{(v^2 - 1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

$k_{m,n}$  is a positive constant and  $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_n)$ .

Since the Green function  $G_{m,n}$  is positive and based on the potential theory approach, we investigate in this paper the existence of positive continuous solutions (in the sense of distributions) for the following polyharmonic elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u + \lambda p f(v) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (-\Delta)^m v + \mu q g(u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = a\varphi(\xi), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ \lim_{x_n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^m} = \alpha, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = b\psi(\xi), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ \lim_{x_n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^m} = \beta, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\lambda, \mu$  are nonnegative constants,  $a, b, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are nonnegative constants such that  $a + \alpha > 0, b + \beta > 0$  and the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous functions on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  which we identify to  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

In a recent paper [14], we have treated a similar polyharmonic problem in the unit ball  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).

For the case  $m = 1$ , the existence of solutions for nonlinear elliptic systems has been extensively studied for both bounded and unbounded  $C^{1,1}$  domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) see for example [7–13, 16, 18].

For our study we use closely the following interesting estimates for  $G_{m,n}$ , which were established in [4]. For each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \approx \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m}} \min\left(1, \frac{(x_n y_n)^m}{|x - y|^{2m}}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

Here and throughout the paper for nonnegative functions  $f$  and  $g$  on a set  $S$ , the notation  $f \approx g$  means that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{c}g \leq f \leq cg$  on  $S$ .

From (1.2), Bachar *et al.* [4] derived the following 3G-inequality.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists  $C_{m,n} > 0$  such that for each  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$*

$$\frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)G_{m,n}(z, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, y)} \leq C_{m,n} \left[ \left(\frac{z_n}{x_n}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, z) + \left(\frac{z_n}{y_n}\right)^m G_{m,n}(y, z) \right]. \tag{1.3}$$

Using these estimates, the authors in [4] introduce a large class of functions called the Kato class and denoted by  $K_{m,n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) := K_{m,n}^\infty$ , defined as follows.

**Definition 1.2** ([4]). A Borel measurable function  $q$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  belongs to the Kato class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$  if  $q$  satisfies

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x, \alpha)} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap (|y| \geq M)} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

To illustrate, we cite as a typical example of functions belonging to the class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$  the following example.

**Example 1.3** ([4]). Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q(x) = \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\mu-\lambda x_n^\lambda}}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then the function  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$  if and only if  $\lambda < 2m < \mu$ .

We note that for  $m = 1$ , the corresponding elliptic class  $K^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) := K_{1,n}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  has been studied by Bachar and Mâagli in [1] for  $n \geq 3$  and by Bachar *et al.* in [2] for  $n = 2$ .

The class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$  was fully developed and exploited to study the existence of positive continuous solutions for some polyharmonic nonlinear elliptic problems (see [4, 5]).

Before presenting our main results, we give some notations and terminology to be used throughout the paper. We set  $\theta$  the harmonic function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  by  $\theta(x) = x_n$ . For any nonnegative continuous bounded function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , we denote by  $H\varphi$  the unique harmonic bounded function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} H\varphi(x) = \varphi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

We remark that the function  $x \mapsto (\theta(x))^{m-1} H\varphi(x)$  is a classical solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \varphi(\xi), & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

We also refer to  $Vf$  the  $m$ -potential of a measurable nonnegative function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , defined by

$$Vf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G_{m,n}(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

As in the classical case the following assertions are equivalent for each nonnegative measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ :

(i)  $Vf \neq \infty$ , and consequently  $Vf \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ ,

(ii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{y_n^m}{(1+|y|)^n} f(y) dy < \infty$ .

Hence for each nonnegative measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $Vf \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we have

$$(-\Delta)^m (Vf) = f \text{ (in the distributional sense).}$$

As usual, we denote

$$C(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{w : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, w \text{ is continuous}\},$$

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \left\{ w \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} w(x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w(x) = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{w \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^n), w \text{ is bounded in } \mathbb{R}_+^n\}.$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some properties of functions belonging to the Kato class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$  developed in [4]. Next, we present a subclass of  $m$ -potential functions which allows us to establish the following result which is a key tool in our study.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\beta \in [m - 1, m)$ ,  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ . The function  $v$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  by*

$$v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y)q(y)dy$$

is in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

**Remark 1.5.** For  $\beta = m$ , the authors in [4] showed that the function  $v$  given in Theorem 1.4, is continuous in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$  and satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0$ .

As mentioned above, the main goal of this paper is to prove two existence results for the system (1.1), stated in Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 below and proved in Sections 3 and 4. Section 5 is reserved to examples.

For our first existence result, we assume the following hypotheses:

- (H<sub>1</sub>) The functions  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous and nondecreasing.
- (H<sub>2</sub>) The functions  $p, q$  are nonnegative measurable on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and for each  $c > 0$ , the functions

$$p_c := \frac{p}{\theta^{m-1}} f(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta + 1)), \quad q_c := \frac{q}{\theta^{m-1}} g(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta + 1))$$

belong to the Kato class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$ .

- (H<sub>3</sub>) We suppose that

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{\alpha x_n^m + a x_n^{m-1} H\varphi(x)}{V(pf(\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi))(x)} > 0,$$

$$\mu_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{\beta x_n^m + b x_n^{m-1} H\psi(x)}{V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi))(x)} > 0.$$

Using an iterative scheme, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Assume (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>). Then for each  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\mu \in [0, \mu_0)$ , problem (1.1) has a positive continuous solution  $(u, v)$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} (1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0})(\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) \leq u \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi, \\ (1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0})(\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi) \leq v \leq \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi. \end{cases}$$

Our second existence result deals with problem (1.1) when the functions  $f, g$  are continuous and nonincreasing,  $\lambda = \mu = a = b = 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are nonnegative constants.

More precisely, we fix a non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous function  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and we need the following assumptions:

- (H<sub>4</sub>) The functions  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous and nonincreasing.
- (H<sub>5</sub>) The functions  $p, q$  are nonnegative measurable on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that the functions

$$\tilde{p} := p \frac{f(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi)}{\theta^{m-1}H\Phi}, \quad \tilde{q} := q \frac{g(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi)}{\theta^{m-1}H\Phi}$$

belong to the Kato class  $K_{m,n}^\infty$ .

Using a fixed point argument, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Assume that  $\lambda = \mu = a = b = 1$  and that (H<sub>4</sub>)–(H<sub>5</sub>) are satisfied. Suppose that there exists  $\gamma > 1$  such that  $\varphi \geq \gamma\Phi$  and  $\psi \geq \gamma\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Then problem (1.1) has a positive continuous solution  $(u, v)$  satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\Phi \leq u \leq \alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\varphi, \\ \beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\Phi \leq v \leq \beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

Throughout the paper the letter  $c$  denotes a generic positive constant which may vary from line to line.

## 2. MODULUS OF CONTINUITY

We collect in the following some preliminary results useful for our study. For the proofs we refer to [4, 5].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ . Then:*

- (i) *The function  $x \mapsto \frac{x_n^{2m-1}}{(1+|x|)^n}q(x)$  is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .  
In particular the function  $x \mapsto x_n^{2m-1}q(x)$  is in  $L^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ .*
- (ii)  $\alpha_q := \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x,z)G_{m,n}(z,y)}{G_{m,n}(x,y)} |q(z)| dz < \infty$ .

Moreover, for each nonnegative harmonic function  $h$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G_{m,n}(x,y)y_n^{m-1}h(y)|q(y)|dy \leq \alpha_q x_n^{m-1}h(x). \tag{2.1}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ , then for each  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ , we have*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x,y)|q(y)|dy \right) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Now, we provide a subclass of  $m$ -potential functions.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $q$  be the function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  by

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{x_n^\lambda}, \quad m < \lambda < m + 1.$$

Then there exists a constant  $c_{m,n,\lambda} > 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$Vq(x) = c_{m,n,\lambda} x_n^{2m-\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in (m, m + 1)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

$$Vq(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G_{m,n}(x, y)q(y)dy = k_{m,n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{|x - y|^{2m-n}}{y_n^\lambda} \int_1^{\frac{|x-\bar{y}|}{|x-y|}} \frac{(v^2 - 1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv dy.$$

Putting

$$|x - \bar{y}|^2 = |x' - y'|^2 + (x_n + y_n)^2$$

and

$$|x - y|^2 = |x' - y'|^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2.$$

Then, by the change of variable  $r = |x' - y'|$ , we obtain

$$Vq(x) = k_{m,n} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{(r^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}}}{y_n^\lambda} r^{n-2} \int_1^{\left(\frac{r^2 + (x_n + y_n)^2}{r^2 + (x_n - y_n)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{(v^2 - 1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv dy_n dr,$$

which implies, by using the transformations  $t = \frac{y_n}{x_n}$  and  $s = \frac{r}{x_n}$ , that

$$Vq(x) = k_{m,n} x_n^{2m-\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{n-2}}{t^\lambda} (s^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \int_1^{\left(\frac{s^2 + (1+t)^2}{s^2 + (1-t)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{(v^2 - 1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv dt ds.$$

Finally, making the change of variable  $u = v^2 - 1$ , we obtain

$$Vq(x) = \frac{k_{m,n}}{2} x_n^{2m-\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{n-2}}{t^\lambda} (s^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{4t}{s^2 + (1-t)^2}} \frac{u^{m-1}}{(1+u)^{\frac{n}{2}}} du dt ds.$$

To achieve the desired result, we claim that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{n-2}}{t^\lambda} (s^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{4t}{s^2 + (1-t)^2}} \frac{u^{m-1}}{(1+u)^{\frac{n}{2}}} du dt ds$$

converges. Indeed, we note that for  $0 < m < \frac{n}{2}$

$$\int_0^{\frac{4t}{s^2+(1-t)^2}} \frac{u^{m-1}}{(1+u)^{\frac{n}{2}}} du \approx \min \left\{ 1, \left( \frac{4t}{s^2+(1-t)^2} \right)^m \right\}$$

and for  $m < \lambda < m + 1$ , we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{n-2}}{t^\lambda} (s^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \min \left\{ 1, \left( \frac{4t}{s^2+(1-t)^2} \right)^m \right\} dt ds$$

converges. Then the claim is proved. This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $m - 1 \leq \beta < m$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ . Then for each  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \{|y| \geq M\}} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* For  $\beta = m - 1$ , the results were proved in [4]. For  $\beta \in (m - 1, m)$ , we deduce from Proposition 2.3, that there exists a constant  $c_{m,n,\beta} > 0$  such that

$$x_n^\beta = c_{m,n,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)}{z_n^{2m-\beta}} dz, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Now, let  $\alpha > 0$ , then by Fubini's theorem and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} y_n^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy = \\ & = c_{m,n,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(y, z)}{z_n^{2m-\beta}} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dz dy = \\ & = c_{m,n,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, z)}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} |q(y)| dy \right) \frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)}{z_n^{2m-\beta}} dz \leq \\ & \leq c \left( \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^m G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy \right) x_n^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.3) by dividing by  $x_n^\beta$  and using (2.2).

Using (1.3) and (1.5), we obtain (2.4) by similar arguments.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $\beta \in [m - 1, m)$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Proposition 2.4, there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \{|y| \geq M\}} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2.6)$$

First, we aim to prove that  $v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . We fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Let  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)$ . It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x) - v(z)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta} - \frac{G_{m,n}(z, y)}{z_n^\beta} \right| y_n^\beta |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy + \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \{|y| \geq M\}} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy + \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta} - \frac{G_{m,n}(z, y)}{z_n^\beta} \right| y_n^\beta |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta} - \frac{G_{m,n}(z, y)}{z_n^\beta} \right| y_n^\beta |q(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|y - x_0| \geq 2\alpha$ , then  $|y - x| \geq \alpha$  and  $|y - z| \geq \alpha$ .

So applying (1.2) for  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta} - \frac{G_{m,n}(z, y)}{z_n^\beta} \right| y_n^\beta |q(y)| &\leq c \left( \frac{x_n^{m-\beta}}{|x - y|^n} + \frac{z_n^{m-\beta}}{|x - z|^n} \right) y_n^{m+\beta} |q(y)| \leq \\ &\leq c y_n^{2m-1} |q(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand for  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)$ , the function  $x \mapsto \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta}$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, \alpha)$ . Since  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$  we deduce by Proposition 2.1 (i) that the function  $x \mapsto x_n^{2m-1} q(x)$  is in  $L_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$  and so by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{x_n^\beta} - \frac{G_{m,n}(z, y)}{z_n^\beta} \right| y_n^\beta |q(y)| dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x - z| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we deduce that  $v$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

Now, let  $x_0 = (\xi, 0)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . We shall show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} v(x) = 0.$$

Let  $x \in B(x_0, \alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , then we have by (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq v(x) &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha)} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy + \\ &+ \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap (|y| \geq M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{\xi_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy + \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha)$  we have  $|y - x| \geq \alpha$ . So from (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq cx_n^{m-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \frac{y_n^{m+\beta}}{|x - y|^n} |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq cx_n^{m-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} y_n^{2m-1} |q(y)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by Proposition 2.1 (i) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq cx_n^{m-\beta}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B(0, M)} \left( \frac{y_n}{x_n} \right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow (\xi, 0).$$

So, we deduce that  $v(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)$ .

Finally, we intend to show that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0.$$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $|x| \geq M + 1$ . By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \{|y| \geq M\}} \left(\frac{y_n}{\xi_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |q(y)| dy + \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, M)} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, M)} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap B(0, M)$ , we obtain by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| &\leq c \frac{x_n^{m-\beta} y_n^{m+\beta}}{|x-y|^n} |q(y)| \leq \\ &\leq c \frac{|x|^{m-\beta}}{(|x|-M)^n} y_n^{2m-1} |q(y)| \leq c \frac{|x|}{(|x|-M)^n} y_n^{2m-1} |q(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Proposition 2.1 (i), we get that  $v(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

This ends the proof.  $\square$

By similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $m - 1 \leq \beta < m$ . For any nonnegative function  $q \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ , the family of functions*

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left(\frac{y_n}{x_n}\right)^\beta G_{m,n}(x, y) \xi(y) dy, \quad |\xi| \leq q \right\}$$

*is relatively compact in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

An important property about potential functions is given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are nonnegative measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $g \leq f$  and  $Vf$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then  $Vg$  is also continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be a nonnegative measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $f = g + \theta$ . It is obvious that  $V\theta$  and  $Vg$  are lower semi-continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $V\theta$  is finite. Thus, since  $Vg = Vf - V\theta$ , we conclude that  $Vg$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Assume that the hypotheses (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>) are satisfied. Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$\lambda_0 V (pf(\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi)) (x) \leq \alpha (\theta(x))^m + a (\theta(x))^{m-1} H\varphi(x) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mu_0 V (qg(\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi)) (x) \leq \beta (\theta(x))^m + b (\theta(x))^{m-1} H\psi(x). \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\mu \in [0, \mu_0)$ . We define the sequences  $(u_k)_{k \geq 0}$  and  $(v_k)_{k \geq 0}$  by

$$\begin{cases} v_0 = \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi > 0, \\ u_k = \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi - \lambda V(pf(v_k)), \\ v_{k+1} = \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi - \mu V(qg(u_k)). \end{cases}$$

We intend to prove that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$0 < \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) (\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) \leq u_k \leq u_{k+1} \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$0 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) (\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi) \leq v_{k+1} \leq v_k \leq \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi. \quad (3.4)$$

For  $k = 0$ ,

$$u_0 = \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi - \lambda V(pf(v_0)).$$

From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\geq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}(\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) \geq \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) (\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$v_1 - v_0 = -\mu V(qg(u_0)) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is nondecreasing we have

$$u_1 - u_0 = \lambda V [p(f(v_0) - f(v_1))] \geq 0.$$

Now, since  $v_0 > 0$ , then  $u_0 \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi$  and using that  $g$  is nondecreasing and inequality (3.2) we get,

$$v_1 = \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi - \mu V(qg(u_0)) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) (\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi) > 0.$$

This together with the fact that  $f$  is nondecreasing imply that

$$u_1 \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi.$$

Finally, we deduce

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) (\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) \leq u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi, \\ 0 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) (\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi) \leq v_1 \leq v_0 \leq \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi. \end{cases}$$

By induction, we suppose that (3.3) and (3.4) hold for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then since  $g$  is nondecreasing, we have

$$v_{k+2} - v_{k+1} = \mu V[q(g(u_k) - g(u_{k+1}))] \leq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

From the fact that  $f$  is nondecreasing and using inequality (3.5), we have

$$u_{k+2} - u_{k+1} = \lambda V[p(f(v_{k+1}) - f(v_{k+2}))] \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Furthermore  $v_k \geq 0$  implies that

$$u_{k+2} \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi.$$

Taking into account the fact that  $g$  is nondecreasing and using (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+2} &= \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi - \mu V(qg(u_{k+1})) \geq \\ &\geq \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi - \mu V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi)) \geq \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) (\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Therefore, the sequences  $(u_k)_{k \geq 0}$  and  $(v_k)_{k \geq 0}$  converge respectively to two functions  $u$  and  $v$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) (\alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi) \leq u \leq \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi, \\ 0 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) (\beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi) \leq v \leq \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Now we claim that

$$u = \alpha\theta^m + a\theta^{m-1}H\varphi - \lambda V(pf(v)) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$v = \beta\theta^m + b\theta^{m-1}H\psi - \mu V(qg(u)). \quad (3.9)$$

It follows from the fact that  $f$  is nondecreasing and  $H\psi$  is bounded, that for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_k(y))p(y) &\leq f(\beta y_n^m + b y_n^{m-1} H\psi(y))p(y) \leq \\ &\leq f(c y_n^{m-1} (y_n + 1))p(y) = y_n^{m-1} p_c(y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $p_c \in K_{m,n}^\infty$  we have by (2.1) that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$y \mapsto G_{m,n}(x, y) y_n^{m-1} p_c(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

So using the continuity of  $f$  and the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(pf(v_k)) = V(pf(v)).$$

This implies (3.8) by letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$u_k = \alpha \theta^m + a \theta^{m-1} H\varphi - \lambda V(pf(v_k)).$$

Similarly we have (3.9).

Next, we aim to prove that  $(u, v)$  satisfies (in the distributional sense)

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u + \lambda f p(v) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (-\Delta)^m u + \mu qg(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

From (3.8), we have obviously that

$$(-\Delta)^m u = -\lambda (-\Delta)^m V(pf(v)).$$

Now, combining (3.7) and the fact that  $f$  is nondecreasing, we get

$$V(pf(v)) \leq V(pf(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta + 1))) = V(\theta^{m-1} p_c).$$

Since  $q_c \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ , then by Theorem 1.4 for  $\beta = m - 1$ , we have

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x_n^{m-1}} V(\theta^{m-1} p_c)(x) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n). \tag{3.10}$$

We conclude due to Lemma 3.1 that

$$V(pf(v)) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \tag{3.11}$$

and consequently

$$V(pf(v)) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

Hence  $V(pf(v))$  satisfies (in the distributional sense) the elliptic differential equation

$$(-\Delta)^m V(pf(v)) = pf(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

It follows immediately from (3.8) and (3.11) that  $u$  is continuous. Similarly, we have

$$(-\Delta)^m V(qg(u)) = qg(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

and  $v$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

Furthermore, since for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  we have

$$0 \leq \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} \leq \frac{1}{x_n^{m-1}} V(\theta^{m-1} p_c)(x).$$

We deduce from (3.10) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

Hence by (3.8) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} (\alpha x_n + aH\varphi(x)) = a\varphi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = b\psi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

On the other hand, we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^m} \leq \frac{1}{x_n^m} V(\theta^{m-1}p_c)(x).$$

So, using (3.10), we get

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^m} = 0,$$

this yields

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^m} = \alpha.$$

By similar arguments, we obtain

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^m} = \beta.$$

The proof is complete. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Assume that  $\lambda = \mu = a = b = 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and the hypotheses (H<sub>4</sub>) and (H<sub>5</sub>) are satisfied. Let  $\gamma = 1 + \alpha_{\tilde{p}} + \alpha_{\tilde{q}}$  where  $\alpha_{\tilde{p}}$  and  $\alpha_{\tilde{q}}$  are the constants defined in Proposition 2.1 (ii) and associated respectively to the functions  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  given in hypothesis (H<sub>5</sub>).

We recall that  $\Phi$  is a non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Let us consider two nonnegative bounded continuous functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $\varphi \geq \gamma\Phi$  and  $\psi \geq \gamma\Phi$ .

It follows that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$H\varphi(x) \geq \gamma H\Phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad H\psi(x) \geq \gamma H\Phi(x). \tag{4.1}$$

We consider the non-empty closed convex set  $S$  given by

$$S = \{w \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n) : H\Phi \leq w \leq H\varphi\}.$$

We define the operator  $T$  on  $S$  by

$$Tw = H\varphi - \frac{1}{\theta^{m-1}}V(pf[\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))]).$$

We aim to prove that  $T$  has a fixed point in  $S$ .

First we show that  $TS$  is relatively compact in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Let  $w \in S$ , then since  $g$  is nonincreasing we deduce that

$$V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w)) \leq V(qg(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi)) = V(\tilde{q}\theta^{m-1}H\Phi).$$

Which implies by  $(H_5)$  and (2.1) that

$$V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w)) \leq \alpha_{\tilde{q}}\theta^{m-1}H\Phi. \tag{4.2}$$

This together with (4.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w)) &\geq \gamma\theta^{m-1}H\Phi - \alpha_{\tilde{q}}\theta^{m-1}H\Phi = \\ &= (1 + \alpha_{\tilde{p}})\theta^{m-1}H\Phi \geq \theta^{m-1}H\Phi > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the monotonicity of  $f$ , we get

$$pf(\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))) \leq pf(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi) = \theta^{m-1}H\Phi\tilde{p}. \tag{4.3}$$

Since  $H\Phi$  is bounded, we obtain

$$pf(\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))) \leq \|H\Phi\|_{\infty}\theta^{m-1}\tilde{p},$$

which implies by using Proposition 2.5 for  $\beta = m - 1$ , that the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\theta^{m-1}}V[ pf(\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))) ] : w \in S \right\}$$

is relatively compact in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and since  $H\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we conclude that the family  $TS$  is relatively compact in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

Next we prove that  $TS \subset S$ . Let  $w \in S$ , we have

$$T(w) \leq H\varphi.$$

Furthermore by (4.3) and (2.1) we obtain

$$V[ pf(\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))) ] \leq V(\theta^{m-1}\tilde{p}H\Phi) \leq \alpha_{\tilde{p}}\theta^{m-1}H\Phi.$$

Then

$$T(w) \geq H\varphi - \alpha_{\tilde{p}}H\Phi \geq (\gamma - \alpha_{\tilde{p}})H\Phi \geq H\Phi.$$

Now, let us show the continuity of the operator  $T$  in  $S$  for the supremum norm. Let  $(w_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $S$  which converges uniformly to a function  $w$  in  $S$ . Since  $g$  is nonincreasing we deduce that

$$qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w_k) \leq qg(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi) = \theta^{m-1}H\Phi\tilde{q}.$$

Now, it follows from (H<sub>5</sub>) and (2.1), that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$y \longmapsto G_{m,n}(x, y)\theta^{m-1}(y)H\Phi(y)\tilde{q}(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

We conclude by the continuity of  $g$  and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w_k)) = V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w)) \quad (4.4)$$

and so from the continuity of  $f$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} pf[\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w_k))] = \\ & = pf[\beta\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}H\psi - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w))]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3), for  $w_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain for each  $x, y$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{m,n}(x, y)p(y)f[\beta y_n^m + y_n^{m-1}H\psi(y) - V(qg(\alpha\theta^m + \theta^{m-1}w_k))(y)] \leq \\ & \leq G_{m,n}(x, y)y_n^{m-1}H\Phi(y)\tilde{p}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then combining (H<sub>5</sub>) and (2.1), we get by the dominated convergence theorem that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$Tw_k(x) \rightarrow Tw(x) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Consequently, as  $TS$  is relatively compact in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we deduce that the pointwise convergence implies the uniform convergence, namely,

$$\|Tw_k - Tw\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore,  $T$  is a continuous mapping from  $S$  to itself and so it is a compact mapping on  $S$ . Finally, the Schauder fixed-point theorem implies the existence of a function  $w \in S$  such that  $w = Tw$ . We put for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$u(x) = \alpha x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}w(x), \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$v(x) = \beta x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\psi(x) - V(qg(u))(x). \quad (4.6)$$

Then

$$u(x) = \alpha x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\varphi(x) - V(pf(v))(x). \quad (4.7)$$

It remains to prove that  $(u, v)$  is a positive continuous solution of the problem (1.1) with  $\lambda = \mu = a = b = 1$  and satisfying for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\alpha x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\Phi(x) \leq u(x) \leq \alpha x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\varphi(x) \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\beta x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\Phi(x) \leq v(x) \leq \beta x_n^m + x_n^{m-1}H\psi(x). \quad (4.9)$$

Since  $w \in S$ , we have clearly from (4.5) that  $u$  satisfies (4.8).

On the other hand by (4.6), we have that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$v(x) \leq \beta x_n^m + x_n^{m-1} H\psi(x).$$

Now, since  $g$  is nonincreasing and using that  $u \geq \theta^{m-1} H\Phi$  we obtain

$$qg(u) \leq \theta^{m-1} \tilde{q} H\Phi,$$

which implies by (H<sub>5</sub>) and (2.1) that

$$V(qg(u)) \leq \alpha_{\tilde{q}} \theta^{m-1} H\Phi.$$

So we get from (4.6)

$$v \geq \beta \theta^m + \theta^{m-1} H\psi - \alpha_{\tilde{q}} \theta^{m-1} H\Phi,$$

which yields the claim (4.9) by using (4.1).

Using (4.7) we obtain

$$(-\Delta)^m u = -(-\Delta)^m V(pf(v)).$$

On the other hand, we have from (4.9) and the monotonicity of  $f$  that

$$pf(v) \leq \theta^{m-1} H\Phi \tilde{p} \leq \|H\Phi\|_\infty \theta^{m-1} \tilde{p},$$

which implies that

$$V(pf(v)) \leq V(\|H\Phi\|_\infty \theta^{m-1} \tilde{p}). \tag{4.10}$$

Since we have from Theorem 1.4 that

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x_n^{m-1}} V(\|H\Phi\|_\infty \theta^{m-1} \tilde{p})(x) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^n), \tag{4.11}$$

we conclude due to Lemma 3.1 that

$$V(pf(v)) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^n). \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore  $V(pf(v)) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and we have in the distributional sense that  $(-\Delta)^m u = -pf(v)$ . Next, combining (4.7) and (4.12) we get obviously that  $u$  is continuous.

Similarly, since  $(-\Delta)^m v = -(-\Delta)^m V(qg(u))$ , we obtain that

$$(-\Delta)^m v = -qg(u)$$

and  $v$  is continuous. Finally let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . From (4.10), we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$0 \leq \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} \leq \frac{V(\|H\Phi\|_\infty \theta^{m-1} \tilde{p})(x)}{x_n^{m-1}},$$

this yields by (4.11) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = 0.$$

Thus by (4.7) we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \alpha x_n + H\varphi(x) - \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \varphi(\xi).$$

Simirlary

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \beta x_n + H\psi(x) - \frac{V(qg(u))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \psi(\xi).$$

Now, (4.10) and (4.11) imply that  $\frac{V(pf(v))}{\theta^{m-1}}$  is bounded, so using (4.7) and taking into account that  $H\varphi$  is also bounded we get

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^m} = \lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \alpha + \frac{1}{x_n} \left( H\varphi(x) - \frac{V(pf(v))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} \right) \right] = \alpha.$$

Similarly, by (4.6) we have

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^m} = \lim_{x_n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \beta + \frac{1}{x_n} \left( H\psi(x) - \frac{V(qg(u))(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} \right) \right] = \beta.$$

This ends the proof. □

### 5. EXAMPLES

To illustrate Theorem 1.6, we give the following two examples.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $\alpha = b = 1$  and  $a = \beta = 0$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that there exists  $c_0 > 0$ , satisfying  $\psi(x) \geq c_0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

We consider the functions  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  continuous and nondecreasing such that there exists  $\eta > 0$  satisfying for each  $t > 0$

$$0 \leq f(t) \leq \eta t \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g(t) \leq \eta t.$$

We assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that

$$p_1 = \frac{p}{\theta}, \quad p_2 = (1 + \theta)p, \quad q_1 = q\theta \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 = (1 + \theta)q$$

are in  $K_{m,n}^\infty$ .

For each positive constant  $c$ , we have

$$p_c = \frac{p}{\theta^{m-1}} f(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta + 1)) \leq \eta c p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_c = \frac{q}{\theta^{m-1}} g(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta + 1)) \leq \eta c q_2.$$

So, it is clear that (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) are satisfied.

Moreover, we have

$$V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi) \leq V(\eta\theta^{m-1}pH\psi) \leq \eta \|H\psi\|_\infty V(\theta^{m-1}p) \leq \eta \|H\psi\|_\infty V(p_1\theta^m).$$

Since  $p_1 \in K_{m,n}^\infty$  and  $\theta$  is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we deduce by (2.1) that

$$V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi)) \leq \eta \|H\psi\|_\infty \alpha_{p_1} \theta^m.$$

So for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$\frac{x_n^m}{V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi))(x)} \geq \frac{x_n^m}{\eta \|H\psi\|_\infty \alpha_{p_1} x_n^m} \geq \frac{1}{\eta \|H\psi\|_\infty \alpha_{p_1}},$$

which implies that  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$V(qg(\theta^m)) \leq \eta V(q\theta^m) \leq \eta V(q_1\theta^{m-1}),$$

which implies by (2.1) that

$$V(qg(\theta^m)) \leq \eta \alpha_{q_1} \theta^{m-1}.$$

So, we obtain for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\frac{x_n^{m-1}H\psi(x)}{V(qg(\theta^m))(x)} \geq \frac{c_0 x_n^{m-1}}{\eta \alpha_{q_1} x_n^{m-1}} \geq \frac{c_0}{\eta \alpha_{q_1}} > 0.$$

This proves that  $\mu_0 > 0$ . Hence (H<sub>3</sub>) is satisfied.

**Example 5.2.** Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha = b = 1$ ,  $a = \beta = 0$  and  $\varphi, \psi$  be non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . We consider  $f$  and  $g$  two continuous and nondecreasing functions on  $(0, \infty)$  such that there exists  $\eta > 0$  satisfying

$$0 \leq f(t) \leq \eta(1+t) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g(t) \leq \eta(1+t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We take  $p$  and  $q$  two nonnegative measurable functions in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  satisfying for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$p(x) \leq \frac{c}{(|x|+1)^{\mu-\lambda} x_n^\lambda} \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda < m \quad \text{and} \quad \mu > 2m+1,$$

and

$$q(x) \leq \frac{c}{(|x|+1)^{s-r} x_n^r} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq r < m \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq 3m+n.$$

First, let  $c > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$p_c(x) = \frac{p(x)}{(\theta(x))^{m-1}} f(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta+1))(x) \leq \frac{\eta}{(|x|+1)^{\mu-\lambda} x_n^{\lambda+m-1}} + \frac{\eta c}{(|x|+1)^{\mu-1-\lambda} x_n^\lambda}.$$

Since  $\lambda < m+1 < \mu$  and  $\lambda < 2m+1 < \mu$ , we deduce by using Example 1.3, that  $p_c \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ . Similarly  $q_c = \frac{q}{\theta^{m-1}} g(c\theta^{m-1}(\theta+1)) \in K_{m,n}^\infty$ .

Hence  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  are satisfied.

Next, observe that

$$V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi)) \leq \eta V(p(\theta^{m-1}H\psi + 1)) \leq \eta \|H\psi\|_\infty V(p\theta^{m-1}) + \eta V(p).$$

Using again Example 1.3, we have  $p_1 = \frac{p}{\theta}$  and  $p_0 = \frac{p}{\theta^m}$  are in  $K_{m,n}^\infty$ . Therefore, as in Example 5.1, we get

$$V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi)) \leq \eta (\|H\psi\|_\infty \alpha_{p_1} + \alpha_{p_0}) \theta^m,$$

which implies for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\frac{x_n^m}{V(pf(\theta^{m-1}H\psi))(x)} \geq \frac{x_n^m}{\eta (\|H\psi\|_\infty \alpha_{p_1} + \alpha_{p_0}) x_n^m} > 0.$$

This yields  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

To show that  $\mu_0 > 0$ , we claim the following

$$V(qg(\theta^m))(x) \leq c \frac{x_n^m}{(|x| + 1)^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{5.1}$$

Indeed, we have for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$V(qg(\theta^m))(x) \leq \eta c \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{(1 + |y|)^{s-r-my_n^r}} dy. \tag{5.2}$$

To estimate the above integral, we consider  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow B$  the Möbius transformation defined by  $\gamma(x) = e - \frac{2(x+e)}{|x+e|^2}$ , where  $e = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Then a simple computation shows that for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \left| \gamma'(x) \right|^{\frac{n-2m}{2}} \left| \gamma'(y) \right|^{\frac{n-2m}{2}} H_{m,n}(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)), \tag{5.3}$$

where  $\left| \gamma'(x) \right| = \frac{2}{|x+e|^2}$  and  $H_{m,n}$  is the Green function of the operator  $(-\Delta)^m$  on  $B$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u = \dots = \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} u = 0$  on  $\partial B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$|x + e| \approx |x| + 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \tag{5.4}$$

which implies that

$$\left| \gamma'(x) \right| \approx \frac{1}{(|x| + 1)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{5.5}$$

Since for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have  $1 - |\gamma(x)|^2 = \frac{4x_n}{|x+e|^2}$ , then by (5.4) we obtain

$$x_n \approx (1 - |\gamma(x)|) (|x| + 1)^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{5.6}$$

Combining this with (5.3) and (5.5), we get for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{(1 + |y|)^{s-r-m} y_n^r} dy \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{n-2m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{H_{m,n}(\gamma(x), \gamma(y))}{(1 + |y|)^{s+r+n-3m} (1 - |\gamma(y)|)^r} dy.$$

Put  $z = \gamma(y)$ , then we have  $dy = \frac{2^n}{|z-e|^{2n}} dz$  and  $1 + |y| \approx \frac{1}{|z-e|}$ . Thus, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{(1 + |y|)^{s-r-m} y_n^r} dy \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{n-2m}} \int_B \frac{H_{m,n}(\gamma(x), z)}{|z - e|^{n+3m-s-r} (1 - |z|)^r} dz.$$

Using that  $n + 3m - s - r \leq 0$ , we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{(1 + |y|)^{s-r-m} y_n^r} dy \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{n-2m}} \int_B \frac{H_{m,n}(\gamma(x), z)}{(1 - |z|)^r} dz.$$

Since  $r < m$ , then by [3, Proposition 3.10] and (5.6) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{(1 + |y|)^{s-r-m} y_n^r} dy \leq \frac{c}{(|x| + 1)^{n-2m}} (1 - |\gamma(x)|)^m \leq c \frac{x_n^m}{(|x| + 1)^n},$$

which gives (5.1).

Finally taking into account that

$$H\psi(x) \geq c \frac{x_n}{(|x| + 1)^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

we get by (5.1), that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$\frac{x_n^{m-1} H\psi(x)}{V(qg(\theta^m))(x)} \geq c > 0.$$

So  $\mu_0 > 0$ . Hence  $(H_3)$  is satisfied.

We end this section by an example as an application of Theorem 1.7.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ ,  $f(t) = t^{-\delta}$  and  $g(t) = t^{-\eta}$ .

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two nonnegative measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that

$$p(x) \leq \frac{c}{(|x| + 1)^{\mu-\lambda} x_n^\lambda} \text{ with } \lambda < m(1 - \delta) < \mu - n(1 + \delta),$$

and

$$q(x) \leq \frac{c}{(|x| + 1)^{r-s} x_n^s} \text{ with } s < m(1 - \eta) < r - n(1 + \eta).$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a non-trivial nonnegative bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Since for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  we have

$$H\Phi(x) \geq c \frac{x_n}{(|x| + 1)^n}.$$

We obtain

$$\tilde{p}(x) = p(x) \frac{f(\theta^{m-1}H\Phi)(x)}{(\theta(x))^{m-1} H\Phi(x)} \leq \frac{c}{(|x| + 1)^{\mu-\lambda-n(1+\delta)} x_n^{\lambda+m(1+\delta)}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Similarly

$$\tilde{q}(x) \leq \frac{c}{(|x| + 1)^{r-s-n(1+\eta)} x_n^{s+m(1+\eta)}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Hence, by Example 1.3 we deduce that  $(H_4)$  is satisfied. So there exists a constant  $\gamma = 1 + \alpha_{\tilde{p}} + \alpha_{\tilde{q}} > 1$  such that if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are two nonnegative bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  satisfying  $\varphi \geq \gamma\Phi$  and  $\psi \geq \gamma\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , then for each  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ , problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u + p v^{-\delta} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ (-\Delta)^m v + q u^{-\eta} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \varphi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ \lim_{x_n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x_n^m} = \alpha, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow (\xi, 0)} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^{m-1}} = \psi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \\ \lim_{x_n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(x)}{x_n^m} = \beta. \end{cases}$$

has a positive continuous solution  $(u, v)$  satisfying (1.7).

**Acknowledgements**

*I thank Professor Habib Mâagli for his interest on this work and useful suggestions. I also thank the referee for careful reading of the paper.*

**REFERENCES**

[1] I. Bachar, H. Mâagli, *Estimates on the Green’s function and existence of positive solutions of nonlinear singular elliptic equation in the half space*, Positivity **9** (2005), 153–192.  
 [2] I. Bachar, H. Mâagli, L. Mâatoug, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in a half space in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Electron. J. Differential Equations **41** (2002), 1–24.  
 [3] I. Bachar, H. Mâagli, S. Masmoudi, M. Zribi, *Estimates for the Green function and singular solutions for polyharmonic nonlinear equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **12** (2003), 715–741.  
 [4] I. Bachar, H. Mâagli, M. Zribi, *Estimates on the Green function and existence of positive solutions for some polyharmonic nonlinear equations in the half space*, Manuscripta Math. **113** (2004), 269–291.

- [5] I. Bachar, M. Zribi, *Existence results for some polyharmonic problems in the half-space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322** (2006), 610–620.
- [6] T. Boggio, *Sulle funzioni di Green d'ordine  $m$* , Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **20** (1905), 97–135.
- [7] F.C. Cirstea, V.D. Radulescu, *Entire solutions blowing up at infinity for semilinear elliptic systems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **81** (2002), 827–846.
- [8] F. David, *Radial solutions of an elliptic system*, Houston J. Math. **15** (1989), 425–458.
- [9] A. Ghanmi, H. Maagli, V. Radulescu, N. Zeddini, *Large and bounded solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger stationary systems*, Anal. Appl. **7** (2009), 391–404.
- [10] A. Ghanmi, H. Mâagli, S. Turki, N. Zeddini, *Existence of positive bounded solutions for some nonlinear elliptic systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **352** (2009), 440–448.
- [11] M. Ghergu, V.D. Radulescu, *On a class of singular Gierer-Meinhardt systems arising in morphogenesis*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I **344** (2007), 163–168.
- [12] M. Ghergu, V. Radulescu, *A singular Gierer-Meinhardt system with different source terms*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **138A** (2008), 1215–1234.
- [13] M. Ghergu, V. Radulescu, *Singular Elliptic Problems. Bifurcation and Asymptotic Analysis*, Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl. vol. 37, Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [14] S. Gontara, Z. Zine El Abidine, *Existence of positive bounded solutions for some nonlinear polyharmonic elliptic systems*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **113** (2010), 1–18.
- [15] H.C. Grunau, G. Sweers, *Positivity for equations involving polyharmonic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), 589–626.
- [16] A.V. Lair, A.W. Wood, *Existence of entire large positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems*, J. Differential Equations **164** (2000) 2, 380–394.
- [17] S. Port, C. Stone, *Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory*, Probab. Math. Statist. Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [18] D. Ye, F. Zhou, *Existence and nonexistence of entire large solutions for some semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Partial Differential Equations **21** (2008), 253–262.

Zagharide Zine El Abidine  
Zagharide.Zinelabidine@ipeib.rnu.tn

Département de Mathématiques  
Faculté des Sciences de Tunis  
Campus Universitaire, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia

*Received: November 10, 2010.*

*Revised: February 2, 2011.*

*Accepted: February 2, 2011.*